#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

+ + + + + +

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

+ + + + + +

# OPEN FORUM ON COLLEGE VALUE AND AFFORDABILITY

+ + + + + +

# WEDNESDAY NOVEMBER 6, 2013

+ + + + + +

The above-entitled matter commenced at 9:00 a.m in Ballroom C of the Loker Student Union, The California State University-Dominguez Hills in Carson, California, Jamienne Studley, Deputy Under Secretary of Education, presiding.

#### PRESENT:

MARTHA K. KANTER, Under Secretary of Education

WILLIE HAGAN, President, The California State University-Dominguez Hills

JAMIENNE STUDLEY, Deputy Under Secretary of Education

ALEJANDRA CEJA, Executive Director, White House Initiative on Educational Excellence for Hispanics

ROBERT GOMEZ, Outreach Coordinator, Department of Education

DAVID SOO, Senior Policy Advisor, Office of the Under Secretary

### T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S Welcome Jamienne Studley ..... 4 Introduction Dr. Willie Hagan ..... 7 Opening Remarks Dr. Martha Kanter ..... 12 Proceedings & Final Logistics Dr. Jamienne Studley ..... 20 Witness Comments David Levitus ..... 23 Thomas Fallo ..... 30 Michelle Padilla ..... 42 Audrey Dow ...... 44 Tinuke Onayemi ..... 51 Ilan Garcia ...... 53 Cheryl McKnight ..... 56 Jimmie Thompson ..... 57 Jeanne Ortiz ...... 63 Gary Brahm ..... 70 Kate Fawver ..... 73 Jorg Raue ..... 88 Paul Rodriguez ..... 94 Brian Harlan ..... 105 Kari Lopez ..... 111 Sean Fleming ..... 118 James Mann ..... 121 Joshua Zuniga ...... 126 Rachelle Mintz ..... 130 Jim Lundgren ..... 141

Welcome, Afternoon Session  Jamienne Studley
Witness Comments
Vivian Price160Conrad Contreras170Dr. Eduardo Ochoa174Kenneth Robinson183Natalia Abrams186Rita Clayton193Mario Martinez199Clinton O'Grady208Sarah Dahnke211Jenny Chhea217Allison De Lucca221Laura Murphy225Patricia Ochoa-Mayer228Robert DeWitz235Dr. Greg White244Beverly Gonzalez249
Michelle Cerecerez 256

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:04 a.m.)
3	MS. STUDLEY: Good morning. We're
4	going to begin in just 30 seconds. So thank you
5	very much. And please take your seat.
6	I want to thank all of you for coming
7	to this very special meeting of the U.S.
8	Department of Education outreach on college
9	value and affordability.
10	It really is a pleasure to be here
11	at Dominguez Hills today. And I'm delighted to
12	see you and expect that we will have a very full
13	and engaging day.
14	My name is Jamienne Studley. I'm
15	the Deputy Under Secretary of the U.S.
16	Department of Education. And I'm proud to have
17	a role in welcoming you to this, the first open
18	forum on value and affordability in
19	postsecondary education that we will be holding
20	around the country.
21	So Dominguez Hills is first, you're
22	the lead off. We will also hold forums in Baton

Rouge, Louisiana, Cedar Falls, Iowa, and Washington D.C. I hope that you will set the standard for constructive contributions and really help us think about these important issues for the future of our country.

But I especially want to thank all of you, and particularly President Hagan's wonderful team, for being so hospitable to us and providing great support and a very warm welcome to this beautiful and tremendously effective campus.

In August President Obama outlined an ambitious agenda to increase the value and affordability of postsecondary education. His plan had three parts, including developing a rating system to help consumers and eventually the Federal Government understand what institutions and programs were providing the greatest value to American students.

The second part is encouraging innovation, innovations of the kind that you and many other colleges are putting in place to make

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

it possible for people to learn in ways that serve their needs and ultimately serve our economy.

And finally, but definitely not last, ensuring that student debt remains affordable for all students, finding ways that people can undertake and repay their responsibilities without handicapping their ability to move on in life.

Today our goal is to hear from you. We really want to know what you think about these ideas and how we can do them as well as possible.

As we develop these policies, the rating system but also the debt and innovation policies, we'll be incorporating your feedback and your input along with that from students and families, college and university leaders, the business and non-profit sectors, counselors, businesses, anyone who wants to join with us, to help us understand what we can do wisely and successfully to be helpful in assuring value and affordability.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	We'll have a series of open forums,
2	as I mentioned, but also round tables and other
3	events. We're visiting lots of different
4	groups while we're here in L.A. and around the
5	country.
6	So again, I want to thank you for
7	welcoming us. And it is my pleasure to accept
8	with great pleasure the hospitality of your
9	wonderful President Hagan, who is so well known
10	for his strategic leadership and collaborative
11	style. Thank you again, President Hagan.
12	DR. HAGAN: Thank you.
13	(Applause)
14	DR. HAGAN: Good morning and
15	welcome, everybody. Because this hearing is
16	going all day, we're going to have people
17	streaming in and out.
18	And we're very proud that we have
19	this hearing here. For those of you who are new
20	to this campus, welcome. I hope you have a
21	chance to tour. For those of you who've been

here before, welcome back.

1	Again, I want to start by expressing
2	my appreciation and thanks for Chancellor White
3	for recommending, and to Martha Kanter and the
4	U.S. Department of Education for selecting CSU
5	Dominguez Hills to be the first of four higher
6	education sites to have these kinds of hearings.
7	Naturally, I might be biased, but I
8	believe that this campus is an appropriate venue
9	for such a hearing, given our long history of
10	access, affordability, and helping all
11	students, including many disadvantaged
12	students, achieve a quality college education.
13	I also want to thank Under Secretary
14	Dr. Martha Kanter, first for a long history as
15	a strong advocate for college access and student
16	access. But more personally, as a new president
17	she gave me lots of advice as we were trying to
18	establish ourselves on this campus.
19	Again, as you heard, this hearing is
20	critical for a number of issues, a number of
21	reasons that we're facing in higher education.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

There's an unprecedented reduction

in resources to support higher education, rising costs of college, calls for increased assessment of learning outcomes, the debate on the role of higher education, should we be developing people just for jobs, educating people for civic engagement, educating people for personal development and a lot of discussion on what is the appropriate role for technology as we move forward in higher education.

Despite these issues, everyone agrees on two things. Higher education is still the number one vehicle for upward mobility in this country. And an educated workforce is critical to the economic and social vitality of this country.

How we stretch our system of higher education for the benefit of everyone is critical. So let's get this hearing started so we can hear your input. And let me get going by introducing Dr. Martha Kanter.

Prior to her 2009 nomination by
President Barack Obama and subsequent

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

confirmation by the U.S. Senate to be Under Secretary of Education, Dr. Kanter served as a chancellor of the Foothill-De Anza Community College District, one of the largest community college districts in the nation, which provided leadership to more than 45,000 students.

Now she did say to me keep it short, Willie.

#### (Laughter)

DR. HAGAN: There is so much to say about her, but I will keep it short.

The first former community college administrator to be confirmed as Under Secretary of Education, Dr. Kanter now oversees policies and programs in postsecondary education, career and technical education, adult education, Federal student aid and six White House initiatives, including Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, educational excellence for Hispanics, educational excellence for African Americans, historically Black colleges and universities, Tribal colleges and universities

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	and faith based and neighborhood partnerships.
2	Charged with planning and policy
3	responsibilities to implement President Barack
4	Obama's goal for the U.S. to have the best
5	educated most competitive workforce in the world
6	by 2020, Dr. Kanter focuses on improving college
7	access, quality and completions and stretching
8	transitions to postsecondary education.
9	Dr. Kanter holds a Doctorate in
10	Organizational Leadership from the University
11	of San Francisco, a Master's in Education from
12	Harvard University, a Bachelor's in Sociology
13	from Brandeis University.
14	Ladies and gentlemen, please join me
15	in welcoming Under Secretary Dr. Martha Kanter.
16	(Applause)
17	DR. KANTER: Good morning. I'd
18	like to thank President Hagan again for his
19	gracious hospitality and all of you for coming
20	here to really help us understand some ideas and
21	directions that will help us meet President

Obama's goals.

1 So I want to thank all of you for 2 spending time with us. We're going to get right to the hearings. I'll make a few brief remarks. 3 4 And then I'll turn this back over to our Deputy 5 Under Secretary who will start us off. 6 Briefly, a college degree is among the best investments students can make for their 7 future. And I'm glad to see students here. 8 Higher education is often called the 9 10 gateway to the middle class. And a highly educated citizenry and workforce is key to our 11 country's 12 economic, social and 13 prosperity. But despite historic investments 14 and reforms that we've all been a part of over 15 the years, attending college today has never 16 been more expensive. 17 And that's why, in August, President 18 19 Obama made a bold proposal to increase value and 20 affordability across and throughout higher education with a plan to increase the access of 2.1

students and their success while lowering costs.

As Jamie Studley said, he also has proposed to promote innovation, to increase competition and ensure that student debt remains affordable.

In doing so, the President also proposed tying Federal student aid to college performance by the year 2018. And as part of the plan, President Obama directed the U.S. Department of Education to develop a college rating system to better inform students on the one hand and encourage institutions to continuously improve their performance.

To develop the college rating system, the Department wants to gather, as we've said, the country's best ideas and most creative thinking to address three key themes, college access, college affordability and outcomes.

We're interested in ideas and suggestions from all stakeholders. And that's why we're here today holding the first of our open forums.

We plan to engage, as Jamie Studley

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

said, many, many stakeholder groups individuals, and we're thrilled to be here at Dominguez Hills to start off, and help really the country and ourselves understand how to best promote college affordability, on the one hand, and value while ensuring that college access and success, and most especially for disadvantaged students, is encouraged, not discouraged. So that is a high priority that President Obama talked about in August when he

proposed this.

Since that time, senior officials across the country are participating in a mix of forums, round tables and other events to start the important conversation that we're having here today.

We began with students in September. And this fall, in addition to Los Angeles, we'll be going to Boston, Chicago, Baton Rouge, Cedar Falls, Iowa, Boulder, Colorado, Washington, D.C. and a host of other sites.

So we invite you to any or all of

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1 We're thrilled that you could actually live video stream this, this morning, Dr. Hagan. We're going to meet with parents, 3 4 and students, and community colleges, 5 universities, public and private, business 6 leaders, and parents, as I said, state colleges, 7 and associations and a cross section of advocates, all kinds of people, to provide their 8 9 perspectives. 10 This and three other open forums are designed to offer the opportunity for members of 11 the public to provide feedback and input on the 12 13 Department's plans and proposals and to hear the So we'll be, like you, comments of others. 14 listening very carefully to the input. 15 After every event, transcripts from 16 the open forums are going to be made available 17 on the Department's college affordability and 18 19 completion Website. We've already set that up. 20 And you can go to www.ed.gov/college-affordability. 2.1 22 And if you don't remember all of

1	that, we'll make sure you get it in writing in
2	the sheets that you got when you came.
3	More information on the President's
4	plan to improve value and affordability is
5	available on the Website. And we'll be updating
6	that Website, so you may want to check it
7	periodically.
8	And we'll also include additional
9	details on upcoming open forums and town halls,
10	as well as round tables that we'll be hosting
11	across the country, as I said.
12	In addition to hosting these public
13	events and reaching out to all of our
14	stakeholders, the Department is also going to
15	ask experts to weigh in on methods for college
16	ratings.
17	And early next year we're going to
18	host a technical symposium about how to design
19	a college rating system. We'll use all of this
20	feedback and feedback from, as I said, all of the
21	hostings that we'll be doing.

And that technical advice to develop

the proposed college rating metrics is going to be shared with the public for public comment in the spring.

So sort of two events here, the outreach events that we're doing as well as the technical group through the Institute of Education Sciences that is going to be gathering a lot of feedback as well on construction of the rating system.

We know, in closing, that there are no silver bullets or easy solutions to increase college value and affordability.

But we also know that we can't let challenges facing higher education, as Dr. Hagan described, become a discussion-ending excuse for not doing anything.

That's why our Administration is taking steps already to support students and families. We've got the student's "Know Before You Owe" consumer tool and others like the Financial Aid Shopping Sheet and the College Scorecard, which we published as a 1.0 version

2.1

last year.

2.1

And these college ratings are going to help us move these initiatives even further. We'd like to have a college rating system that provides useful data that's going to allow America's students and families to compare and choose colleges, the best fit for them.

With better information at hand, students can make more informed choices and Federal taxpayers' investments can generate a greater return.

We look forward to continuing our efforts to collect guidance from all of you about how to design a college rating system and ensure our nation's extraordinary system of higher education will continue to be the best, will continue to thrive, to grow, and strengthen our entire nation and the world.

We are looked to for excellence.

And that is what this is about in my view. With that, I'd like to turn back to Jamie Studley to briefly explain how we're going to proceed and

cover some final logistics.

2.1

And again, thank you so much for joining us this morning. And we look forward to hearing your comments throughout the day. Thank you very much.

#### (Applause)

MS. STUDLEY: Thank you, Martha and President Hagan. It is indeed a pleasure to work with you and, under your leadership, to advance the President and Secretary's goals.

I'd like to introduce several other people from the Department who are with us today.

We're delighted that Alejandra Ceja is here with us for as much of the day as she can be. She's the Executive Director of the White House Initiative on Educational Excellence for Hispanics and a very valued colleague.

In addition, David Soo, senior policy advisor from the Office of the Under Secretary, and Robert Gomez, an outreach coordinator for the Department and a neighbor from this area in L.A. coming back with us for

this trip, are here on our team as well.

2.1

You may see some of us change seats in order to maintain a listening presence throughout the day. And we appreciate your flexibility as we endeavor to hear from both the people who signed up in advance and have time slots and from as many other people who have expressed an interest as we possibly can.

So we're going to move through this. We appreciate your responding if David signals that your time has been completed. And we will do our best to slot in everyone else who would like to speak.

The easiest way to do that is to sign up at the desk and indicate that you would like to make comments, provide your thoughts if you would like to. You can also do that later.

If you watch and listen and say, oh,
I can do that. I have something that I want to
add to this conversation. We mean this also to
be friendly, and accessible and not at all scary.

So feel free to reflect your

1	interest back there. We'll even see if we can
2	take people if we see slots by just coming up to
3	the microphones.
4	There are two microphones here.
5	When we call for you, you can take either one.
6	And I just have a couple of other practical
7	items.
8	Just one more resource to what
9	Martha Kanter mentioned about how you can
10	communicate to us we also have an email address,
11	collegefeedback@ed.gov.
12	That's a really simple place to send
13	us any comments, informal or more structured,
14	that you would like to after you hear today's
15	activities or after you read the Website and see
16	how this is all shaping.
17	We'll take a break mid-morning if we
18	can. If we think we have too many people to
19	allow that luxury, we won't. We will, however,
20	definitely take a mid-day break between noon and
21	1:00.

With that, let's dive in and start

1	doing what we came here to California to do,
2	which is to hear from you. I'll give you a
3	couple of people so that you know that you're
4	teed up and who we're going to be ready to hear
5	from.
6	The first witness, I guess is the
7	right word, the first witness will be David
8	Levitus, California Deputy Director of Young
9	Invincibles, and after that, Thomas Fallo, from
10	El Camino Community College and Lawrence
11	Bradford from L.A. City College.
12	Mr. Levitus? I apologize if I have
13	mispronounced it?
14	MR. LEVITUS: Absolutely right.
15	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you.
16	MR. LEVITUS: Thank you for having
17	me and for having us. I really appreciate the
18	opportunity.
19	My name's David Levitus. I'm the
20	California Deputy Director of Young
21	Invincibles, which is a non-profit that works to
22	expand economic opportunities for young people.

1	Thank you for inviting us to comment
2	on these important proposals to design and
3	implement a performance based rating system for
4	colleges and universities tied to the allocation
5	of Federal financial aid.
6	We have a lot to share on this topic
7	as Young Invincibles. We've held numerous
8	interviews with students about how they navigate
9	the Federal financial aid.
10	We've surveyed tens of thousands of
11	young adults about how they make their decisions
12	about college. And we've even polled 18 to 34
13	year olds nationally on the topic of higher
14	education.
15	And we're part of a consortium of
16	think tanks, advocacy groups and associations
17	which is offering specific proposals to make our
18	higher education system more transparent and
19	accountable and make our financial aid system
20	easier to navigate.
21	From what we know, for millions of

young people a college education is the gateway

to economic opportunity and success. And attaining a postsecondary degree results in lower unemployment, higher lifetime wages and less dependence on Government services.

But because the cost of higher education has skyrocketed over the last few decades, paying for this higher education has become increasingly frustrating and confusing.

And I want to emphasize at the outset that it will take a comprehensive effort to break the trend of rising tuition, in part because state budget cuts have driven much of the increase at public schools in the cost of education. And that's especially true here in California.

And we'll have to make progress on a number of issues, including this one, to make sure college is affordable and accessible for all.

With that said, one of the key challenges we face in paying for college is that it's not like another consumer product, like a

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	candy bar. If you don't like it, you rarely get
2	a chance to pick another product.
3	Schools don't face many
4	consequences for offering something that's
5	subpar. And they don't face these consequences
6	because students lack information about the
7	product ahead of time and whether it will produce
8	the return on investment that they hope for.
9	So we applaud the Department for
10	looking to equip students with more information
11	about what is often the second largest
12	investment of their lifetime.
13	And we believe that, well done, a
14	system can increase the value that students get
15	from postsecondary education.
16	However, we do see two potential
17	pitfalls that we want the Department to be aware
18	of as we move forward. First is being careful
19	not to dis-incentivize the practice of serving
20	under-represented and disadvantaged students.
21	Implemented poorly, an
22	accountability system could encourage schools

to enroll primarily those students most likely to graduate, regardless of the quality of the instruction or the school as a whole.

We recently actually talked to students in Ohio on the ground who faced a problem like this. Their state colleges' low tuition and open enrollment practices opened doors to students who previously didn't have access to higher education but nonetheless were struggling with academic, social and economic challenges.

But Ohio's performance based funding model for public institution allotted funding to schools based on their graduation rate, largely. And this system punished a state school for serving underprivileged and non-traditional students.

One option to alleviate concern with the rating system would be to reward schools that successfully graduate large numbers of Pell grant eligible students. There would be an incentive thus to serve under-represented

2.1

students well.

2.1

The second concern we have is that the Department faces a dearth of data in creating its rating system. Indeed much of the data needed to make informed decisions such as post-graduation earnings and loan repayment rates, are prohibited from Government collection and analysis on an individual level.

Without the right inputs, a new rating system will not be an effective tool for students. The Administration will need to work with Congress through the reauthorization of the Higher Education Act in order to fix this issue.

Inevitably, more data tracking, student demographics, socioeconomic background, aid status, classroom performance, graduation rate and employment outcomes will become available.

But it is important to stress the importance of student privacy when dealing with these new data sets.

Just as the census, for instance,

1	can successfully provide disaggregated person
2	level data for research and analysis in a way
3	that protects the individual's privacy, a new
4	system should also be able to protect the
5	anonymity of students.
6	I thank you and Young Invincibles
7	thanks you very much for your time and interest.
8	And we look forward to working with you in the
9	future.
10	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
11	I meant to say this before anyone began. But the
12	procedures that are in place for these sessions
13	means that we cannot ask follow-up questions or
14	engage in discussion with the people who speak
15	before us, no matter how tempting.
16	So I just want you to understand that
17	we are genuinely listening and hearing what you
18	have to say and will take it back and combine it
19	with what we hear around the country.
20	There will be give and take in the
21	sense that we will put this all together with the

data experts' best advice. And we will publish

1	in the spring a draft of the ratings proposal so
2	that all of you, and everyone else interested in
3	this process, will have a chance to tell us
4	whether we heard you, how we're doing and whether
5	we have brought together in a sensible way all
6	of the good advice that you give us.
7	So with that, let's go to our second
8	speaker, Thomas Fallo.
9	MR. FALLO: Good morning, Madam
10	Under Secretary. I'm Thomas Fallo, President
11	and Superintendent of the El Camino Community
12	College District.
13	Our main campus in Torrance is just
14	nine miles west and serves 25,000 students a
15	semester. Our Compton Center, three and a half
16	miles to the north, has about 8000 students per
17	semester.
18	I'm pleased to be here to present
19	comments on a few of the items outlined in the
20	President's plan to make college more
21	affordable. My remarks will reflect the
	11

effects these measures may have on El Camino

College and our Compton Center.

2.1

First, I wish to thank the Department of Education for having the foresight to plan to address college affordability issues.

And thank you for holding these sessions to receive our input.

I'm certain that we can all agree that teaching students critical thinking skills as well as how to effectively read, write, speak, and compute and analyze are the essential aspects of a higher education.

With that in mind, our priority must be the broader role for higher education, instead of focusing high school students on how to get through college as quickly as possible with just the hope of a job ready, waiting for them upon graduation.

We applaud the Administration's efforts in addressing college value and affordability issues. There are some areas in the proposal that might negatively affect community colleges.

1 Students do not typically choose 2 community colleges based on ratings or rankings. Students usually select a community college 3 4 based on convenience and location. 5 Public college ratings are far less 6 useful for community college students, because 7 they do not typically apply to multiple colleges. 8 9 The components of the rating's 10 variables proposed by the Administration are so wide ranging that it would be difficult, if not 11 impossible, to create an algorithm that would 12 13 translate the diversity of our students at 1200 community colleges across the nation. 14 15 In illustration, the students at El Camino Community College, Torrance, and the 16 Compton Center are clear examples of diverse 17 populations we serve. 18 19 The percentage of incoming high 20 school graduates from high schools in the El Camino Community College District who pass the 2.1

assessment test for college level reading,

1 college level writing and college level math are 2 reading, 52 percent, writing, 47 percent, and 3 math, 12 percent. For Compton Center, located just ten 4 miles from the main campus, the scores are 21 5 6 percent, reading, 14 percent, writing, and one 7 percent in math. That's passing college level to college level preparedness. 8 9 These test clearly scores 10 demonstrate the challenges for rating systems that do not take into account the individuality 11 of the nation's communities. 12 13 Next, recommend that the we 14 Administration investigate incorporating 15 performance state-based performance models into California's recently 16 any rating system. mandated student success initiative already 17 we utilize 18 requires that many of 19 appropriate measures. 20 Developing an entirely new set of

metrics to meet Federal regulations that we

would have to utilize, in addition to what is

2.1

1 already required, we hope is unnecessary. 2 The Administration has indicated an intention to provide earnings data on the 3 4 college scorecard to be used as part of the rating system. 5 6 Earnings information is surely 7 useful for students selecting career technical education programs at community 8 9 colleges. 10 However, we have concerns about a structure that forces decisions based on 11 potential earning rather than learning. 12 not support extending the rating system to 13 student financial aid eligibility. 14 It is unclear what purpose will be 15 served by differentiating the terms of student 16 aid based on perceived performance of colleges 17 these students attend, other than perhaps the 18 19 hope that students will vote with their feet and 20 attend higher rated institutions. We are further concerned about this 2.1 22 proposal, because community college most

1	students are not in a position to choose an
2	alternative college.
3	Finally, in concert with the
4	American Association of Community Colleges, we
5	would hope that you would reduce regulatory
6	miasma by one, limiting the quantity and
7	frequency of change in regulations, focusing
8	Congressional attention on gainful employment
9	opportunities, simplifying the return to Title
10	4 regulations and improving textbook
11	affordability.
12	Thank you for your time and
13	consideration of my remarks. Good day.
14	(Applause)
15	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
16	(Applause)
17	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
18	Lawrence Bradford, and following him Donald
19	Marshall and Audrey Dow. Mr. Bradford? Mr.
20	Bradford, are you with us?
21	(No magnanga)
l	(No response)
22	MS. STUDLEY: Let me then, we'll

1	hold and see if he is available later. Donald
2	Marshall? Thank you.
3	MR. MARSHALL: I have copies for
4	you. And I'll be reading out all of what I wrote
5	here, okay.
6	MS. STUDLEY: Okay.
7	MR. MARSHALL: My name's Don
8	Marshall. Thank you for having this hearing and
9	for letting me speak this morning.
10	I retired in 2011 after more than 40
11	years teaching at UCLA, the University of Iowa,
12	the University of Illinois at Chicago and
13	Pepperdine University.
14	I share the widespread concern over
15	college affordability. Education is certainly
16	vital to our economic future. It's vital to
17	enable citizens to live full and meaningful
18	lives.
19	Some historical perspective on the
20	question of affordability, I think, may be
21	helpful. In the 17th century four years at
22	Harvard University cost \$600, about the price of

1 a modest house. 2 When I attended Harvard in the 1960s four years cost about \$20,000, about the price 3 of a modest house. Today it costs \$200,000, 4 about the price of a modest house. 5 6 When I began teaching at UCLA in 7 1969, my salary was \$9500 a year. A year at Harvard cost about \$7000, two-thirds of my 8 salary. When I retired in 2011, my salary was 9

So if you think about the context of prices and incomes, I think we need to consider this question of affordability and not simply take for granted what we say over and over again, it's unaffordable, it's unaffordable, it's out of control.

about \$105,000. A year at Harvard cost \$50,000,

about half of my salary.

It may not be quite as bad as that.

I don't want to minimize the problem of paying for college. But is cost the real problem?

Consider this, when I went to Harvard I had a National Merit Scholarship. It

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

paid \$1500 a year, which was exactly the cost of tuition. Today a National Merit Scholarship pays \$2500 a year, one-tenth or less of tuition at Harvard.

At the time I applied to college, tuition at the University of California was

tuition at the University of California was zero. When my son went to UC Berkeley ten years ago, tuition and fees were about \$10,000.

The most important thing that happened is that support for students and for colleges failed to keep up, and in particular public support for public colleges and universities collapsed.

As a result, college is difficult to finance or out of reach for too many students and families. And I understand the concern over whether it's worth the expense.

But how can this question be answered? A college education isn't a commodity like toasters or automobiles. Its value is far reaching and difficult to quantify. The idea of developing a single rating system for

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

all colleges is not a good idea.

2.1

We reviewed the criteria that you have suggested for these, and I think these criteria make a bad idea even worse. The metrics proposed miss the point of college.

There are many reasons to choose a particular university, and cost is one of them. But the quality of the experience is most important. It would certainly be helpful to know what that quality will be in advance, though I doubt that it's possible to do so.

What determines a university experience is the match, the chemistry if you will, between the student and the institution.

My son had a great experience at UC Berkeley. My daughter had a great experience at DePaul University in Chicago.

My daughter would have been lost at Berkeley. My son would have found DePaul constraining. It would have been a bad idea to send him to DePaul because it had higher graduation rates than UC Berkeley.

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	We make very bad policy and serious
2	mistakes when we see that there's a problem.
3	And because we can't address the problem in a
4	truly effective way, instead we do something,
5	anything, however ill considered, to give us the
6	illusion that we're taking action.
7	It would be foolish to believe that
8	one could rate all colleges and universities on
9	a single set of metrics, selected simply because
10	they can be quantified.
11	It is unwise to develop a rating
12	system which, if taken seriously and used, would
13	lead to bad decisions. Do I really want to send
14	my kid to this college because it has a higher
15	graduation rate? Is that really the reason I
16	should do that?
17	The real way to address college
18	affordability is to make it more affordable.
19	But that would require increasing financial
20	support to colleges and students.
21	Creating an ill-considered rating

scheme on the basis of misleading or irrelevant

1	metrics does not solve the problem and indeed
2	makes it worse. Thank you.
3	(Applause)
4	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. We are
5	going to hear from, if she's available, Michelle
6	Padilla, who had a time preference. And then
7	we'll go back to our regular schedule. Ms.
8	Padilla?
9	MS. PADILLA: Hi, guys. I am a
10	student here at Cal State-Dominguez. And I'm
11	part of disabled services. I represent disabled
12	services. And I wanted to tell you guys that Cal
13	State-Dominguez here has an excellent disabled
14	service.
15	I've had learning disabilities
16	throughout my whole life, and it's been a
17	challenge. And I wanted you guys to know that
18	we need to have more counselors for disabled
19	services.
20	We are short staffed right now, but
21	they do have an excellent disabled service staff
22	on campus, and they do the best they can.

1	So without the help of them, I
2	wouldn't have gotten an education or affordable
3	education, because I have a congenital heart
4	defect. I've had three open heart surgeries.
5	So I've had my share of challenges.
6	But Cal State, and this is one of the
7	good schools that have a good learning
8	disability program. So we need to have more
9	people to help out with disabled services. So
10	we could use the help. That's it.
11	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
12	(Applause)
13	MS. STUDLEY: Is Audrey Dow from the
14	Campaign for College Opportunity here? Thank
15	you very much.
16	Let me just say, as you begin, we're
17	running a little bit ahead. People have been
18	wonderfully cooperative. So I'll mention the
19	names of the people we have scheduled. We may
20	be able to slip in some walk-ins if they are not
21	here yet.

Tinuke Onayemi and Brian Harlan are

1	the next two scheduled speakers after that. So
2	we'll take you after Ms. Dow. Thank you, thank
3	you for being here. Ms. Dow?
4	MS. DOW: Good morning, Under
5	Secretary Kanter and Ms. Studley. It's good to
6	be here.
7	My name is Audrey Dow and I'm the
8	community affairs director for the Campaign for
9	College Opportunity, which you both know is a
10	California based policy and advocacy
11	organization committed to ensuring more
12	students have the opportunity to access a
13	college education and just as importantly
14	complete a college education.
15	We applaud the President and the
16	Administration for making college opportunity
17	and affordability a key issue for reform.
18	Barriers to college access and success stifle
19	the country's economic growth while widening the
20	gap between rich and poor.
21	Our collective future depends on the
22	increased mobility for low-income and

underrepresented students, especially Latinos who make up a growing population of our own state's population.

Just yesterday we released a report,

The State of Latinos in Higher Education in

California. In that report we had like the good

news, which is that seven out of ten Latino high

school grads in the U.S. enrolled in college in

2012.

In California, 83 percent of Latino parents hope their children earn at least a bachelor's degree. And 92 percent of Latinos believe that a college education is very important. Again, that's the good news.

The bad news is that in California Latinos are less likely to enroll in a four year university, attend a selective college, enroll in college full time and perhaps most disturbing, less likely to complete a bachelor's degree.

With one in every two children under the age of 18 in California being Latino, we must

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

improve completion rates if our state and our country is to remain economically vibrant.

Today we offer a few suggestions for the three ambitious objectives of the President's plan. Number one, too often we only focus on holding students accountable. If students don't do what's expected and get failing grades they don't pass courses and they don't reach their college goals.

Colleges should also live up to our collective expectations. Colleges must be committed to student learning and improving student success.

We support transparency and agree that a college's performance is important to measure. Students and families deserve access to good information about college completion, time to completion, the quality of their degree or certificate and the real cost of their education.

A rating system should also be sure to include indicators that reward colleges for

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

improving student outcomes and for serving and awarding degrees and certificates to under-represented students, including those who are low-income and/or students of color.

Any rating system, however, must come with significant outreach to students and their families to ensure they know how to use the forthcoming scorecards and interpret scores.

The DOE must also be diligent in its review of the ratings to ensure students, particularly first generation, low-income college students who are most likely to attend colleges in their local communities are not left without options for college because clusters of local colleges are performing poorly and therefore Federal financial aid is limited.

The goal should always be to simplify aid for students. And linking of Pell to the rating systems could be confusing and cumbersome, and that should be a consideration.

Number two, there are few things more heartbreaking than a capable student who

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1 should go to college but decides he or she simply 2 can't afford it. This is against our core values as 3 4 Americans. So efforts to control the rising cost of college and student debt are necessary. 5 6 Greater information and access for 7 students to all eligible college aid through the state and Federal Government must be expanded. 8 9 And greater awareness about access to Federal 10 student loans with low interest rates and income based repayment options for those loans has to 11 be a top priority. 12 13 Participation and applications for repayment options must be simplified and, when 14 possible, be opt out instead of opt in. As the 15 cliché goes, students don't do optional, so why 16 not making opting into these programs the 17 default. 18 19 along with more access 20 information to aid, there must also be a focus on keeping the cost of college attainable. 2.1

roller coaster ride of tuition and fee increases

that our students have been riding over the past five years must be stopped.

We look forward to the robust discussions around the innovation and the opportunities presented via technology to address challenges of capacity, student supports and reduced time to a degree.

We urge the thoughtful review of scaling the delivery of education services via technology. We ask that equity be kept at the forefront of this discussion as many communities continue to struggle with issues of the digital divide.

And perhaps more importantly, it's critical that those students who need the most intervention and supports to be successful have the high touch, hands on instruction and personal relationships to be successful.

Finally, we applaud the President's support for performance based funding and his commitment to encourage states to utilize the dollars they invest in higher ed to prioritize

2.1

1	student success.
2	A funding formula that rewards and
3	incentivizes both access and success will
4	benefit students.
5	We thank you for allowing us to be
6	a part of this dialogue and look forward to
7	working with the Administration and both of you
8	to ensure opportunity and success for all of our
9	students and the nation's future. Thank you.
10	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
11	(Applause)
12	MS. STUDLEY: This gives me a chance
13	to just remind all of you who testify and have
14	written testimony to submit it to
15	collegefeedback@ed.gov so that we can have the
16	full remarks.
17	And if afterward you want to either
18	put your comments into that forum or write
19	something new, even if you choose not to speak
20	today, all of those will be great ways to
21	participate in this conversation.

Let's move on to Tinuke Onayemi, our

1	next scheduled witness. Thank you.
2	MS. ONAYEMI: Okay. I'm a student
3	at this school, California State University,
4	Dominguez Hills.
5	And I wanted to ask is there any way
6	that, like if a student is having difficulties
7	meeting their expected graduation date if they
8	can receive aid to get their degree?
9	I'm bringing this up because I am a
10	student. And I've been here since 2007. And
11	I've had challenges that stopped me from
12	continuing my schooling.
13	So I had to withdraw from school for
14	two years, non-consecutively. And it's made it
15	difficult for me to stay in school, because I
16	will have to face taking on loans to finish off
17	my degree.
18	So I just wanted to bring that up.
19	Because I feel like it's unfair for someone who's
20	had like a health condition, continue school and
21	having to pull out loans to get their degree.
22	And other students that do not have a health

1	gondition may not have to mull out loons to
1	condition may not have to pull out loans to
2	attain a degree because they stayed in school for
3	their complete duration. Okay.
4	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much
5	for sharing those thoughts and your question.
6	As I said, this isn't a setting in which we can
7	answer your question or help solve that need.
8	But I think someone from the
9	university will want to speak to you after the
10	session, having heard your question, and ideally
11	point you in the right direction.
12	But thank you for reminding us about
13	those kinds of problems and issues that arise to
14	the degree that we can help look at them in a
15	broad way. Thank you very much.
16	MS. ONAYEMI: You're welcome.
17	MS. STUDLEY: Is Brian Harlan here?
18	(Applause)
19	MS. STUDLEY: Brian Harlan is the
20	next scheduled person, after that Jeanne Ortiz.
21	We'll take you a little early if you are
22	available. If not, is there anyone who has,

1	Robert, is there anyone who has signed up who
2	would like to be added to the list?
3	(Off microphone discussion)
4	MS. STUDLEY: Excellent, thank you.
5	MS. GARCIA: Hi, my name is Ilan
6	Garcia. And this is my first semester at
7	Dominguez Hills. And I'm very excited to be
8	here.
9	I came from a community college. I
10	was there four years. And I just had a remark
11	or a comment regarding my experience.
12	Community college, I saw a lot of
13	people take advantage of financial aid. The
14	units are, I think, \$33 per unit. So that's a
15	few hundred dollars compared to the classes here
16	at Dominguez Hills. For one class it's about
17	almost \$800.
18	And a lot of students would also get
19	financial aid. And once you get financial aid
20	you can drop a class and not pay it back once
21	you've been in that class for three-fourths, I
22	guess, of the class. And you do not have to pay

1 it back unless you drop before. 2 And at Dominguez Hills you need to 3 pass with a C+ or you have to pay back the tuition 4 you owe. 5 Not only that, a lot of people would 6 get \$1000 per semester for books and whatnot they 7 needed. And nowadays I think that was a little excessive, because a lot of people wouldn't use 8 9 that money for books or parking. 10 Parking here is \$100. Compared to my community college, it was \$20. 11 And especially now you can rent books online or on 12 campus. And that was a lot of money. 13 And a lot of people that I know that 14 went to community college were getting a lot of 15 And they even said like, you know, 16 money. that's a lot of money. 17 And now that they've come to, you 18 19 know, Cal State or whatnot they're not receiving 20 that much money. And they wish that they could receive the help that they were getting at 2.1

community college, that sufficient amount of

1	help here at Dominguez Hills or any other Cal
2	States.
3	So I think that's just like a big
4	issue. Because, you know, I think sometimes
5	people are abusing the system. And some us, you
6	know, do need it. And that's it, thank you.
7	(Applause)
8	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. Okay.
9	Are Brian Harlan or Jeanne Ortiz here yet?
10	(No response)
11	MS. STUDLEY: In that case, lucky
12	day for Cheryl McKnight and Jimmie Thompson.
13	Ms. McKnight?
14	MS. MCKNIGHT: Hello. I'd like to
15	thank you for this opportunity and what I'd like
16	to talk about is the rating system which seems
17	to be a popular topic today.
18	If you look around our University,
19	you'll notice we're one of the most diverse
20	Universities in the country. And the diversity
21	isn't just ethnicity, it's economic disparity,
22	age, we have some, our students tend to be older.

1	Our students tend to me raising
2	families of their own and taking care of their
3	parents. And as a result, often it takes longer
4	for them to graduate. So when we're put up
5	against one common rating system, it increases
6	the educational disparity.
7	And another thing, when we look at
8	the jobs, getting jobs after graduation, because
9	a lot of our students are already working,
10	they're not going to necessarily reflect a
11	higher income immediately. It would take time.
12	So I think we need to look at the
13	rating system according to the demographics and
14	I think Dominguez Hills represents the new face
15	of America. Thank you.
16	(Applause)
17	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
18	Jimmie Thompson.
19	MS. THOMPSON: Good morning, good
20	morning, thank you so much for this opportunity.
21	I'm an alumnus of the CSU system and, in
22	particular, Dominguez Hills.

So I really appreciate this opportunity. And I want to go back to some of the objectives that this program is to promote. And one thing in particular, I heard the best work force by 2020.

And I'd like to see that accomplished, but I have some concerns because that's only six years away. I'd like to know if you're going to create career opportunities that match up with the graduation outcomes, for example, recently the teachers throughout California have gone through a really hard time.

A lot of the public school systems are laying teachers off and over the past few years I've spoken at credentialing ceremonies trying to encourage our new candidates to become teachers and there are no jobs.

So, again, I'd like to see the support come from this project that the people that have spent the time and completed their obligation have jobs available when they finish. So can we look at that element of it, because,

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

again, the whole motivation for going to college is the outcome of good credible employment, sustainable employment.

And the educational system is the primary area that promotes teachers. So, again, let's look at what's going to come out of the efforts made by the students that apply themselves and do we have credible employment for them?

The second point I want to make is that we need to support counseling and guidance for future opportunities. We talk about the classroom experience and that's critical, I mean you can't have a system without credible teaching and instruction.

But a lot of times students need support through counseling and guidance and some of that area has got to be addressed in order for a college to be successful. It can't just be what goes on in the classroom.

So if that could be added as criteria for your review. And then also include

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

supplemental support services that ensure that students are pursuing the degrees, credentials that will provide viable continued employment.

There are not a lot of jobs here. Are we gearing the curriculum to match up with where the jobs are, and good paying jobs? We're looking at colleges and they may have a wonderful, brilliant program of training, but there are no jobs when they've finished that training, when they get their degree.

So, again, part of the criteria.

Are you looking at providing some standard that these colleges that you rate as the best also have that credible end point? And, let's see,

I'm doing this cold turkey so please forgive me if I pause a little bit.

Also as you rate each college, the CSU is a system-wide college, a system of 23 campuses, and each campus has specialties. Are we going to be in the situation where now, within a system, that colleges are ranked against each other and not look at the viability and output

2.1

that the system wide programs offer, because, again, the CSU has an incredible number of offerings at all 23 campuses.

And you can kind of specialize by the campus you go to, but it is the system that complements each other. The schools complement

each other's curriculum.

And then finally, I'm speaking on behalf of everybody that wants to be assured that we will have a public educational system available to us. There's been a huge amount of scrutiny for the colleges and the system, but where's the funding?

A lot of the ratings are going to depend on how much did you have to cut back. If you had to do deep cuts, well when you are under scrutiny to be rated, that's going to impact your ability to demonstrate that you can perform well.

So again, let's look at the funding as we rate these schools. If the funding has been cut significantly, but there's the

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	potential to have outstanding programs, let's
2	put some of that funding back in place so that
3	these colleges can be adequately rated based on
4	the highest potential instead of the
5	shortcomings that are current now.
6	I mean within the CSU system we have
7	been struggling over the past two years to get
8	a stable budget. Fortunately for us Prop 30
9	passed, but again how can you rate somebody or
10	rate a system and you don't have stable funding?
11	So let's make sure that the
12	legislators make a real commitment so that
13	you're not rating colleges that may be obsolete
14	because the funding went away.
15	So I just offer that cold turkey and
16	I really appreciate your time. Thank you very
17	much.
18	(Applause)
19	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much
20	for stepping up. We really appreciate it.
21	Thank you all. On our schedule we have Brian

Harlan, Jeanne Ortiz, and Gary Brahm as the next

1	three.
2	Mr. Harlan, are you here? Okay.
3	We're a little earlier than his scheduled time.
4	Jeanne Ortiz? Excellent, thank you. Thank you
5	for letting us take you a little bit early.
6	Thanks so much.
7	MS. ORTIZ: Thank you. Good
8	morning. I'm Jeanne Ortiz and I'm the Vice
9	President and Dean of Students at Whittier
10	College, a private liberal arts college with
11	about 1700 students located 15 miles East of
12	downtown Los Angeles.
13	We were founded by the Quakers over
14	125 years ago and while we are no longer
15	religiously affiliated our mission and ethos
16	still guides our principles of tolerance,
17	respect for diversity, and service.
18	Our students, faculty, and staff are
19	richly diverse ideologically, culturally,
20	socially, and economically. Today our student
21	body is a majority minority school.

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

а

are

We

22

Hispanic-serving

institution with 33 percent of our student body coming from Latino families and almost another 20 percent identify as coming from under-represented groups.

Additionally, more than 17 percent of our student body is first generation, one-third is Pell eligible and more than three quarters of our students receive need-based financial aid.

This is a sign of Whittier's commitment to providing access to populations not historically well served by higher education and particularly private higher education.

Not only does the composition of our student body reflect the State of California and the future of the nation, at Whittier we deliver our promise to our students by providing a rigorous, but supportive, educational environment that is committed to their graduation.

For example, nationwide, Latino students have a college graduation rate of 50

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

percent, at Whittier it is 72 percent. How do we accomplish this success?

It is through high impact practices such as faculty/student research, faculty led study abroad courses, small classes, writing-intensive courses, and extensive co-curricular programming.

The inherent advantages of a small school are that students get individualized attention at every level, from matriculation to commencement, that yields significant results particularly in increased competitiveness upon graduation.

Given our results, we believe that these high impact practices are very good investments. However, they are not cheap. The bottom line is that an institution like Whittier that offers a private liberal arts education with significant faculty/student interaction has higher overhead costs than one that delivers its programs to a larger group of students.

It is imperative that the

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

Presidential Scorecard takes into account that value and affordability are not synonymous terms. The value of a private liberal arts education is exponential because it prepares graduates not only with the knowledge and skills employers want, but also with a commitment to civic engagement for the common good.

If the Scorecard, if the proposed Scorecard, seeks to address the nation's interest, why are we not looking at the skills employers seek in their new hires?

Multiple studies show that employers from across industries want graduates who can think critically, communicate clearly, and are able to solve complex problems. These are the habits of mind fostered by liberal arts colleges like Whittier.

One such recent study conducted by the American Association of Colleges and Universities shows that 74 percent of businesses and nonprofit leaders report that they would recommend a 21st century liberal arts education

2.1

to a young person they know in order to prepare for long term professional success in today's global economy.

Another survey shows that 60 percent of liberal arts graduates feel that they are prepared for the workforce compared to 34 percent who graduate from flagship public universities.

Let's ensure that the proposed Scorecard values these skills as much as employers, students, and their family, and it can communicate the characteristics of the schools that provide them.

While Whittier graduates are definitely prepared for employment in a wide variety of fields and our post-graduation survey reveals that 93 percent of graduates are employed within nine months of their graduation.

We do not believe that starting salaries are the only appropriate measure of success as proposed by the Scorecard. The top professions that Whittier graduates contribute

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

to are education with public service and other 1 types of very common occupational paths. 2 These professions fill a national 3 4 interest, however, they undoubtedly 5 graduates earning potential, downward our 6 particularly at the beginning of their careers. 7 The Scorecard should not devalue the professions that deliver the highest impact for 8 the benefit of society. I want to be clear that 9 10 at Whittier we applaud any efforts to improve the quality of education and support our nation's 11 students. 12 13 We fear though that any Scorecard is incomplete if it focuses on earnings upon 14 15 graduation and does not address the complexity of assessing the transformative nature of the 16 education provided at Whittier and colleges like 17 18 us. 19 Moreover, we fear the Scorecard has 20 the potential of disproportionately penalizing schools with small endowments and comparatively 2.1

limited resources like Whittier, the very

1	schools that are working so hard to grant access
2	to the under-served.
3	Liberal arts colleges have long
4	argued that ranking systems based solely on
5	numerical values do not tell the complete story.
6	Embedded in the fabric of who we are, we educate
7	our students to look at a variety of factors to
8	understand the fullest picture possible.
9	We urge the Scorecard to do the same.
10	Thank you.
11	(Applause)
12	MS. STUDLEY: There are seats
13	further forward. I know this is like class and
14	those are unpopular, but there are plenty of
15	seats here and we invite you take them, and some
16	over there where you don't even have to walk in
17	front of us to do it.
18	I think we have with us Gary Brahm.
19	CHANCELLOR BRAHM: Right.
20	MS. STUDLEY: And let me just
21	mention, the next two people that we have on our
22	scheduled list are Rachelle or Rachel Mintz and

Kate Fawver.

2.1

We do have some extra time so if you want to, so if you'll just indulge me for one moment, if you want to add your name to the list we have plenty of time and we'd welcome your thoughts. You can sign up outside and just indicate that you would like to testify or let Robert in the back know and he can do it right on the spot. Thank you very much, Robert.

And with that, Mr. Brahm, Chancellor Brahm.

CHANCELLOR BRAHM: Good morning.

I'm Gary Brahm, Chancellor of Brandman

University. We have a unique history. We

began operations in 1958 at the El Toro Marine

Base as Chapman University College, part of

Chapman University.

We became Brandman University, an independent, nonprofit, WASC accredited institution in 2008. We serve 12,000 students both online and at 26 campuses in California and Washington State.

We are a Hispanic serving institution, 40 percent of our undergraduates receive Pell Grants and approximately 14 percent of our enrollments are active military.

We have a number of best practices and innovations to serve our students, including, all of our students receive coaching, we use a professional dual advising model whereby each student is assigned their own and dedicated advisor.

This model has been recognized by the Department of Education as a best practice. We use one-stop student services. Classes are offered on ground or online, students choose. On ground classes are taught blended, or flipped, another best practice identified by the Department of Ed in the 2009 Meta Study.

Our general education and Baccalaureate programs incorporate Lumina DQP and essential earning outcomes from the AAC&U LEAP National Initiative, are white paper on this initiatives on the WASC website.

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

Courses are designed by a team of faculty who are, of course, the subject matter experts, instructional designers who are assigned to each school, and technology specialists.

We have a center for instructional innovation that provides required training for faculty, oversight of courses, and the use of the quality matters rubric for continuous improvement.

Even though we have a very diverse student body, many first generation students, many high-need students, a significant active military population, and many fully online students, almost 70 percent of our students graduate, and they have the ability and the desire to repay their loans.

Our 3-year default rate is just 4.8 percent and our 2-year rate is just 2.4 percent. There are enormous differences in the outcomes for institutions serving a very similar non-traditional student population.

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	It is essential that the new college
2	ratings provide non-traditional students the
3	transparency necessary to make an informed
4	selection of an institution.
5	To that end I recommend the
6	following information be used in the rating
7	criteria. The first, it is essential that a
8	meaningful graduation rate be developed for
9	non-traditional students.
10	This week's report by Public Agenda
11	indicated that 47 percent of non-traditional
12	students feel it necessary to know a school's
13	graduation rate before enrolling. Yet
14	currently there is no meaningful graduation rate
15	for these students.
16	Brandman University has
17	articulation agreements with over 50 community
18	colleges that allow students to lock in our
19	catalog and complete their bachelors degree
20	after completing their AA degree.
21	This enables students to earn their

bachelors degree for a total cost of about

\$30,000, and by the way we froze our tuition this year, it's the same as it was last year.

This enables students to earn their bachelors Degree, as a said, for a total of \$30,000. We do not accept first time freshman in our Baccalaureate programs. Our 70 percent graduation rate is based upon guidelines developed by the Consortium for Student Retention Data Exchange, CSRDE, at the University of Oklahoma.

The California State system for years has been using these same guidelines for the transfer to graduation rate that they publish on their website. It is essential that the new college ratings include a meaningful graduation rate, such as CSRDE, waiting till 2016 is just not okay. This is important information that these students require, these non-traditional students require.

Hopefully this graduation rate can also be used by the White House Scorecard, which excludes Brandman University and other

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

institutions which do not have a first time freshman graduation rate.

The vast majority of non-traditional students returned to higher education to improve their financial situation. It is just common sense that the value of the degree needs to enable the graduate to earn enough to pay for that degree.

That is why the cohort default rate calculations are so important. I suggest that following enhancements to the cohort default rate reporting: also report the percentage in hardship forbearance. Abuse of hardship forbearance has been widely reported in the press. This will expose institutions that have large numbers of students using this program to artificially reduce their default rates. The Pay as You Earn Program is an important program to reduce the number of students who have financial lives ruined by being in default.

However, it is important that students considering an institution understand

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

the likelihood that their earnings will not be adequate to repay their loans. I also suggest reporting the percentage of students the Pay as You Earn program.

The cohort default rate is currently reported for two or three years. I suggest a reporting for the default rate, the percentage in forbearance, and the percentage using the Pay as You Earn Program be reported for at least ten years.

I understand that you use three years for your compliance, but there's no reason not to have transparency for ten or more years. This will provide greater understanding of the long term benefit of the institution's education.

This information can and should also be reported by degree program. I believe the additional information will enhance the usefulness of the new college ratings especially for non-traditional students, the ones who really need it most.

2.1

1	Provide useful information for
2	institutional administrators, the more
3	information that we have to make decisions the
4	better, provide useful information for
5	students, and provide other interested parties
6	the raw data that they need to develop meaningful
7	ratings of non-traditional institutions.
8	We applaud your efforts and are very
9	comfortable being held accountable for our
10	students success. Thank you very much.
11	(Applause)
12	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
13	I appreciate it. Ms. Mintz? We'll come back to
14	you again, we're a little bit earlier than
15	projected. Professor Fawver?
16	(Applause)
17	MS. STUDLEY: Good for you.
18	PROFESSOR FAWVER: Thank you.
19	Thank you and good morning. Again, we are
20	honored by your presence. My name is Kate
21	Fawver and I am Professor and Chair of the
22	Department of History here at Cal State

Dominguez Hills and I come before you today speaking as a faculty member and as a former student, who in 2003 graduated from the University of California-Riverside, with a Ph.D. in History and \$100,000 in student loans.

More than most I recognize the enormous and immediate crisis in higher education because I live there. Between 2008 and 2013 state funding for higher education as a percentage of state personal income declined by 22.6 percent. States have cut their annual investment in higher education by nearly half since 1980.

As a consequence, institutions have both increased tuition and diverted funding from instruction so that 75 percent of the faculty now work on temporary, low wage contracts without benefits, undermining their ability to serve students properly, especially economically, disadvantaged, first generation students, most of whom enter college unprepared.

In response to the crisis facing

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

higher education, the Federal Government has proposed certain reforms consisting of three parts, paying for performance, promoting innovation and competition, and ensuring that student debt remains affordable.

The ultimate objective of the Department of Education's plan is to guarantee access to higher education for working class and middle class students. These objectives are laudable.

A new college rating system that incorporates such value-added metrics as access, affordability and student based outcomes like graduation and transfer rates, could potentially shine a national spotlight on colleges and universities like CSU-Dominguez Hills, institutions that keep alive the promise of equal opportunity.

However, when one looks at the plan more closely it becomes abundantly clear that the means proposed contradict rather than support the goal.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

This plan accepts the continued de-funding of American education and recommends as a palliative a number of technology-based silver bullet solutions to educational institutions being asked to square circles.

I fear, and a growing body of experience and research give us all reason to fear, that so-called curricular innovations like 3-year accelerated degrees, or Massively Open Online Courses, MOOCs, and flipped and hybrid classrooms are cures worse than the disease.

A plan that presents the Western Governor's University, a competency based online university, as a model of efficiency and quality education, is unworthy of the Department of Education.

With all due respects, it is difficult to see world class in a private university with a graduation rate of 6.5 percent. I remind the audience that the costs of a WGU education are modest only because WGU

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

has no permanent full time faculty.

2.1

Instead, its students, unlike students who attend high tuition public and private universities, like UC or Stanford, interact with course mentors, not qualified faculty to complete their classes.

The plan's call to integrate a mainstream online learning platform, like MOOCs, as a panacea for dwindling financial support is also extremely troubling. The dismal and embarrassing results from the Udacity based 2013 MOOC experiment at San Jose State should be sufficient to discredit such facile schemes.

As California Faculty Association,
Kell Fujimoto and Liz Cara, members reminded us
in a Sac Bee Op-Ed piece, dealing with tough
economic times by handing off education to
private vendors and using public funds to
increase online offerings through these vendors
will not serve California well in the long run.

Again, I remind the panel, there are

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

no silver bullet solutions to the challenges facing public higher education and the search for such magical solutions promoted by groups like the Gates and the Lumina Foundations serves only private corporations with an interest in selling technology based solutions in order to secure lucrative contracts paid with taxpayer dollars.

To craft a successful plan to improve higher education the President and Secretary of Education would do better to listen directly to instructional faculty, staff, students, and administrators.

To reduce educational costs the plan encourages private-public partnerships to develop innovative approaches, accelerated degrees, and to introduce new technologies into on-campus teaching and learning.

I'm here today to remind the panel that we in the CSUs are already doing these things. We are doing these things, however, subject to our ethical mandate and moral

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

responsibility to above all not harm or disadvantage our students.

We're doing them in a responsible way, evaluating new technologies and deploying them in ways appropriate to our mission, which is not only to make education accessible, but to guarantee that our students have the same opportunity to acquire a quality education as students from more privileged backgrounds.

My fear is not only that the plan developed by the Department of Education will saddle universities like CSU-DH with unrealistic expectations, but that it will institutionalize inequality of educational opportunity rather than reduce it.

Employers who hire our graduates continuously state that they want workers who one, come ready to work the first day on time; two, communicate clearly orally and in writing; three, think critically and show independent problem solving abilities; four, work in teams; five, use technology effectively; six, speak

2.1

multiple languages; and seven, think globally.

Accelerated degrees, MOOCs, only undermine our ability to produce graduates who meet these high standards. Again, I might remind the panel that these views are only my own and are not reflective of the Cal State-Dominguez Hills community at large. Thank you.

## (Applause)

MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. Thank you very much. Just a reminder that for anyone who has written remarks, we welcome you to post them, to send them in full to collegefeedback@ed.gov so we have your full text.

Is Ms. Mintz here in the audience?

Karen Orellana, has indicated that you'd like to speak? Thank you, Ms. Orellana.

MS. ORELLANA: Good morning. My name is Karen Orellana and I'm a former Cal State-Dominguez Hills student and listening to all of the people coming up it reminded me when I was in middle school and I didn't know when a

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

friend told me that she wanted to go to Yale, I didn't know what was that or UCLA or USC, not until I went to a program called AVID, Advancement Via Individual Determination, and going to high school and being in AVID I learned a lot about colleges and financial aid and that I could afford to go to college.

It was a transition for me to know that I could continue education and instead of just going to work at 18 I could come to school. I applied to Cal State-Long Beach, University of Laverne, and Cal State, as well as Dominguez Hills and I got accepted to the University of Laverne, but the reason why I didn't go to that school was because of financial aid. Ιt wouldn't cover me as much as I needed. So my second option was Cal State-Dominguez Hills and I have great professors, they are well rounded, they come from USC, UCLA. And something that I really hope you consider in your rating system is you should also imply to the family members, because not all the parents really know about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

what is school? What is college? What is the loan?

For me, I didn't want to take any loans for my schooling, because I didn't have money to pay for those loans and so I decided to come to Cal State-Dominguez Hills and I said this is a great school, financial aid is covering everything. My parents don't have to worry about me paying extra money, and for me, now, I think about a Master Degree. I want to actually pay off on my Master and a Doctorate and I really think that the rating system should consider families, informing parents in all the different languages as possible, and yes, I mean, it's really hard to be a student.

I know a friend of mine that he works and he goes to school. He doesn't have any financial aid and it's really hard. I had to quit coming to Cal State-Dominguez Hills for two semesters because financial aid cut it back and I went to Santa Monica College. I had to pay out of pocket, and I understand that textbooks are

2.1

really expensive, and I came back and I'm really happy to be here.

But at the end, I think the rating system should apply to family members to the community and go around and say college is affordable for anyone who is looking forward and the opportunities are there. It would just, if you, that the Government is helping. So thank you very much.

## (Applause)

MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much. Rachelle Mintz? Okay. Is Jorg Raue here? We'll take you next. And then I'll tell you the next few names that we have, we are aiming to take a break at around 10:45 if we have testimony until then. So we'll take Mr. Raue and then the next speakers, although we're a little early for them and they may not be here, are Dr. Raul Rodriguez and Ariane Schauer. So, Mr. Raue, and I may have butchered your name, my apologies.

MR. RAUE: Well you certainly heard a variety of views this morning and this is going

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

to be another one, okay. My name is Jorg Raue. I have a Ph.D. in electrical engineering. I spent 25 years in engineering education and an additional 25 years full time in aerospace in satellite development and technology development. In addition I did many, many years of university department accreditation for the professional society so that my total time in the engineering environment is over 40 years.

I'm now retired and I represent only myself. Some of my comments may appear to be not immediately addressing your particular requirements or goals, but on second thought and in retrospect, you will probably realize that in fact they do.

Let me start out with, sort of, a bold fact. The fact is in this Country we spend 7 percent of the Gross National Product on education, 7 percent of the Gross National Product. No other Western Country spends this much money, okay. No one, none, whether it's Germany, whether it's France, whether it's

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

Sweden, none of them do, yet all of those Countries provide entirely free education, okay, not just at high school level here, but also in the colleges and universities. Where is that gap? Why can't we do something close or similar to that? And why are we requiring the students to be burdened with these enormous financial obligations?

As I see it, significant problems are, there are just far too many students that are graduating are deeply in debt and that this debt is not forgivable. Far too many students are in non-relevant fields, are studying non-relevant fields, and can't find relevant employment after graduation. Of course, this is my view, there just simply are not enough students in science and engineering.

The next comment might be pure heresy for this audience, namely, not everyone needs to go to college. You know, in high school they should maybe bolster the guidance towards trade and vocational schools and emphasize

2.1

hands-on training. And also warn students about the shortcomings and pitfalls of the diploma mills. In college, not native-born Americans enroll in science and engineering and the foreign student enrollment is forever growing. Look at this single statistic: of the native born students 50 percent of the Asian-American freshman are planning a career in science and engineering, 50 percent, okay. And it's less than 20 percent of the whites and the other minorities that are planning to do the same thing.

So we see a major discrepancy of having to do something about a strategy, a strategy that will foster whites and other minorities into technology oriented fields. One way might be to simply go ahead and provide free college education in science engineering to all native-born Americans. But the money, it's the money again, okay. A recent NSF study of the 100 top public research universities shows that funding in science and engineering has dropped

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

20 percent from 2002 to 2011.

2.1

Undergraduate enrollment has held steady in engineering at about 500,000, and these numbers have steadied over five years. They're not steady because there are not enough new people wanting to study engineering, but there are funding constraints. But something is happening, a great example, Texas A&M has a major initiative. They plan to increase engineering enrollment from 11,000 to 25,000 students. There are currently, they had for this last year, they had over 10,000 applicants for 1600 opening slots, okay.

So we have pressures that need to somehow be relieved, okay. Another issue, in graduate schools, 60 percent of the doctoral students in engineering are foreign students. I mean just think about those numbers.

Okay, in summary, and I will also address your issues here. Not everyone needs to go to college, more emphasis on vocational and hands-on training. I think we definitely need

to launch a truly major Government initiative to fund American-born people to study science and engineering. And, by golly, clamp down on diploma mills. This will free up money and it avoids indebting students who can't ever repay because they can't find relevant, well-paying jobs from that environment.

And for colleges, place greater emphasis on relevant college studies. and developing a rating system, and this is sort of counter to what the lady, I don't see her here now, was talking about, okay. My view is to incentivize colleges for performance measured heavily by weighing detailed statistics relevant job placement and job income. closing I have, I see a lot of students here, I would like to take the opportunity to give you three words, three attributes that will help you and that I used when I hired people, and I hired a lot of people over a lot of years, okay. things, dependability, communication ability, and the third one, resourcefulness. Think

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	about those things, okay. Thank you very much.
2	(Applause)
3	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
4	is Dr. Rodriguez here with us?
5	DR. RODRIGUEZ: I am.
6	MS. STUDLEY: Excellent. Thank you.
7	Then we have Provost Schauer is next up.
8	DR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. My
9	name is Raul Rodriguez. I'm the Chancellor of
10	the Rancho Santiago Community College District,
11	and the Vice President of the Community College
12	League of California. I'm actually here today
13	to fill in for Dr. Helen Benjamin who is the
14	President of the CCLC, Community College League,
15	who was scheduled to give remarks and she had a
16	family emergency, was called out of State to
17	attend a funeral, so I'm here in her place. And
18	if you know Helen, she's the kind of person you
19	can't say no to, so that's why I'm here. But if
20	you know Helen, too, she's also very efficient
	II

and she put together a statement that I'm going

to read to you.

21

And this is really just to give the community college perspective. "Community colleges are recognized for their critical place in our Nation's educational system, economy, and society. These colleges enroll over 40 percent of students in the Nation's higher education system and play an essential role in providing educational opportunity and work force development.

We are also proud of our open access missions. Through a combination tuition, a strong Federal support for student community colleges aid, have remained affordable institutions to a broad swath of the population. Average published tuition or fees for full time undergraduates at public 2-year institutions across the Nation this academic year is just \$3,264, or less than 11 percent of the published cost at a private nonprofit 4-year institution. In California these charges are even more affordable with our community colleges \$1,424, costing an average οf and the

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

overwhelming majority of our residents are eligible for the California Community Colleges Board of Governors, or BOG dewaiver.

State and Federal aid has further driven down costs for students at community colleges. In fact for students in both the lowest and second lowest income quartiles, less than \$65,000 per year, community college net tuition of these Nationwide is, in fact, zero. This is from the College Board data. Given growing concerns over student indebtedness, having affordable pathways to degrees, certificates of credentials, or institutions is critical.

This also applies to students who enter 2-year institutions with the intention to transfer to a 4-year degree or to obtain the career technical education to qualify for a good paying job. It's important to note, however, that the vast majority of our students do not have the luxury or a privilege of selecting the location of an institution to attend, and

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

instead are looking for affordable, high quality programs near their home and work.

The President's proposal to rate colleges based on access, affordability, and outcomes holds both promise and peril. It must be done right. Particularly, significant questions remain over the definition of colleges with similar missions. As the ratings are we hope this proposed to be structured, comparison will take into account State and Local resources available as well as predominant degree granting activity. This challenge frames our hopes for the rating metrics." I just want to take an aside here that's not in the formal remarks and say that, you know, in my two colleges, District we have Santa Ana College, which is an urban, inner-city college, and Santiago Community College, which is really a suburban community college. It's hard to make a comparison between those two

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

colleges because of those differences. Then I

think back to the college where I came from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

before I came to Rancho, San Joaquin Delta 1 2 College up in the Central Valley, and that college covers an area of 2400 square miles. 3 4 So you have, Delta is an urban, 5 inner-city college, it's also а suburban 6 college, and it also serves the rural 7 population. So how do you compare that college with that broad diversity of mission against the 8 Santa Ana College or the Santiago, so that's what 9 10 we're asking for. There has to be fairness in any type of comparison to come up within the 11 That's always the concern about 12 13 something like this. "Community colleges believe that 14 15 our institutions compare favorably with other 16 sectors," I'm just getting over a cold, so I'm not in control of my throat here. 17 MS. STUDLEY: Here. 18 19 DR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm okay, thanks. 20 "With other sectors on access and affordability, with some variation within our sector on the 2.1

number of Pell Grants our Pell students serve.

For example, that correlates significantly with the local economy. Overall community colleges serve the largest number of Pell Grant" -- very good water. Okay, "our debt burdens remain low with less than 70 percent of our students who are borrowing."

In my District, or actually Santiago Community College District, only 1 percent of our students take out Federal loans. I know in Helen's District, Contra Costa Community College District, I only think it's 3 percent of students take out loans. So it's very low for our community colleges.

"Due to the small percentage of our students who ultimately borrow, using the average debt among borrowers, only would not be reflective of the overwhelming majority of students' community colleges experience. We hope that information about average indebtedness will make this critical point clear to students who are evaluating their ability to attend. To the extent that the potential rating

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

system may also focus on the role of net price, we'd also like to note that there are currently significant issues with the representation of this data to students.

For example, dependent and independent students, especially returning adult learners, have vastly different interests in the data presented to them. Independent students, for example, do not need room and board information to be included in net price if they are already self-supporting and are enrolling in a part-time program while working.

California community colleges all have the same tuition, BOG requirements, and government financial aid, and do not offer institutional scholarships. Therefore, the net price is essentially the same at whatever community college a student attends. At a minimum, this net price data should be extrapolated for distinct roots. On outcomes, an outcomes rating will hope that data is reflective over institutions and the students we

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

serve. We applaud the President for his interest in using a combined graduation and transfer rate rather than graduation alone as a measure of student success.

students attend Many community college and transfer to other institutions to complete their degree or certificates. We hope to see similar changes under the IPEDS data collection for institutions. Completion rates should be measured at 100 percent, 150 percent, and 300 percent of the normal time of program Recent research has provided strong support for the fact that our transfer students are quite successful. An August report from the National Student Clearinghouse Research Center found that 60 percent of over students transferring from a 2-year institution go on to complete a 4-year degree within 6 years.

Although it has long been known that community colleges play an increasingly important role for students on the way to a Baccalaureate Degree, the difficulties of

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Federal data collection have prevented efforts to examine the success rates for students on this pathway. As Federal data collection efforts improve, and student State data systems begin to integrate, we believe the specific outcome of transfer students from 2-year institutions will be clearer.

The President has also proposed to evaluate graduates' earnings. This is an area of significant concern. Our currently available salary data is mostly State based, and unfortunately, riddled with holes. Federal law prohibits creating a National database that would link students tax records with their educational information. At the September event that the National Press covered in Washington, D.C., White House Domestic Policy Counsel, James Kvaal announced that Administration's existing College Scorecard effort would soon display graduate earnings information.

However, he noted that this

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

information would be pulled via data matches only with Title IV borrowers. We assume a similar approach is planned for the ratings system that is based on the Scorecard. Given that less than 17 percent of community college students nationally borrow Federal student loans, means information based on this subset of individuals would not be reflective of our graduates, and in many ways would represent heavily skewed data.

Even if the earnings data matches were to include all Title IV recipients, less than half of our students would be represented. We believe that potential consumers should be notified about the integrity of the earnings information if the percentage of all completed is represented is less than 50 percent. the Department's forums are focused on the ratings element, there are companion ideas within the President's proposals for affordability that we strongly support and believe we'll plan important and interactive

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

4	graduate high percentages of low-income
5	students. We strongly support consolidation of
6	income based repayment plans and additional
7	outreach to borrowers who have become delinquent
8	on their loans which will help to reduce student
9	defaults. I want to thank you for the
10	opportunity to testify today on behalf of the
11	Community College League of California. We
12	look forward to working with the Department,
13	administration, and staff to develop a system
14	that promotes access to quality consumer
15	information and promotes greater affordability
16	and value for students." And I will post this
17	online. Thank you.
18	(Applause)
19	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
20	DR. RODRIGUEZ: Thanks for the
21	water.
22	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. I
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

role with the college ratings efforts.

bonus to institutions that would serve and

Some of these ideas include a Pell

1

2

understand that Brian Harlan is here and we had
moved so fast that we skipped over your time
slot, but thank you very much.
MR. HARLAN: Good morning. My name
is Brian Harlan. I'm Associate Provost for
Institutional Research and Effectiveness at
California Institute of the Arts.
But I'm here today mainly talking
for myself as a first generation student who
became independent after high school and
subsequently spent 20 years working my way
through college to get a Ph.D. and racking up
\$90,000 in debt. It's an old story, I guess.
But my feedback really is focused on
the idea of a federally sanctioned ranking
system.
I have to be clear, I'm not entirely
opposed to performance based funding when
appropriate, but based on my experience as an
academic administrator, and specifically as an
academic assessment and institutional research

professional, the pay for performance goal of

creating a college ratings system is actually quite incompatible with the promoted innovation and competition goal of providing consumers clear, transparent information.

And I'd like to give you just a few reasons why. First, ranking systems are blunt tools at best and most users will not take the time to understand the subtleties or their shortcomings.

This would be especially true if the source of the rankings is as authoritative as the U.S. Government. It's one thing, for example, to balk at say Hollywood Reporter's college rankings which came out just recently, but U.S. Department of Education rankings and contrast would likely go unchallenged by a large percentage of the population.

The whole idea of a Scorecard is to present high level data points in order to simplify the complexities of the actual data. The fact is, it has taken a long time to arrive at acceptable data points already with the

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

existing Scorecard and this is mainly because higher education in the United States, those institutions are in fact extremely complex.

Next, a ranking system has been seen to lead to misreporting under pressure. I'm not going to mention any institutional names, but recently some very well respected institutions have been caught reporting faulty SAT scores and there are likely many other schools that have never been caught.

The stakes are already high and the competition is already intense and the rankings tied to financial aid will only exacerbate the problem. Besides misreporting, this type of pressure can also alter behavior.

easily increase graduation rates nearly by lowering graduation standards. But my concern here is not that institutions shouldn't be put under pressure, but rather that being put under pressure can easily lead to bad data through inaccurate reporting.

2.1

1	Ranking systems can also have the
2	unintended effect of stigmatizing underfunded
3	institutions. It's certainly no mystery why
4	the top ranked schools in U.S. News, for example,
5	are also coincidentally among those that have
6	the highest endowments.
7	It's long been known by

institutional researchers, that's sort of a trade secret, that the best predictor of student persistence is simply high school GPA. Wealthy schools have the luxury of selecting the very best students and thereby limiting their liability.

Wealthy schools also have more flexibility to supplement federal financial aid with institutional financial aid and they have more resources for academic support as well as high impact programs like study abroad and undergraduate research.

Penalizing schools for their lack of endowment runs a risk of disenfranchising not only the schools, but the alums as well.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	Finally, I would say that the idea of sort of
2	gamifying financial aid through a scorecard
3	seems to be a bit misdirected.
4	Rather than finding ways to divvy up
5	the existing pot of financial aid money, we would
6	do better to find ways to increase it as I think
7	has already been said.
8	In my opinion the real issue that we
9	are trying to address is not the cost of
10	education, but the extreme level of student
11	debt, and this is where the emphasis should be.
12	There's a lot that can be improved
13	in higher education and I'm all for
14	accountability, but I strongly believe that this
15	accountability should be achieved through the
16	deep, thorough, and rigorous process of
17	accreditation that already exists.
18	If students and parents want to
19	understand the return on an investment of their
20	tuition, they're not really going to get it from
21	a single ranking.

Instead, I would urge the President

to give more weight to accreditation standards,
expanding them as needed, requiring accreditors
to present findings in a consumer-friendly way,
and pointing the public to accreditors as the
authorities on educational quality and not the
government. Thank you.

# (Applause)

2.1

MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much. Thank you. Thank you. Given the interest and the arrival of some additional people that we'd like to add to our schedule and not wanting to inconvenience folks by breaking up and then trying to reconvene, I'm going to see if we can just keep rolling forward and if everybody is here.

So first I'm going to ask if the three next people who were scheduled to speak before lunch are available, those are Ariane Schauer, Jim Lundgren, and Rita Clayton.

Are any of you, as they say, in the house? Provost Schauer, first chance? Mr. Lundgren? Ms. Clayton? This is a little early

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	for all of them so it's an opportunity, but not
2	a problem.
3	In that case we'll take Kari Lopez
4	and then Sean Fleming. So is Kari Lopez
5	available? Thank you very much.
6	MR. LOPEZ: Hello everybody. I
7	would first like to thank the panel and the
8	audience for allowing me to share my insight. I
9	am a first generation student. I have been
10	blessed to be given the opportunity to actually
11	get an education.
12	And I would like to share a little
13	of what my scholarly experience has been. So in
14	high school I was told that I could not apply to
15	UCs because I was ineligible for financial aid.
16	And I was discouraged to apply to the
17	University of California system and they told me
18	to apply to the Cal State system which I did, but
19	in high school they did not tell me that when I
20	would transfer the University would be able to
21	cover or subsidize some of the costs for my

tuition.

So I decided to go to a community college where I got the experience of seeing how a lot of my peers abuse the financial aid system. I had friends who would get \$4,000 a semester in financial aid and they would go and spend it buying brand new televisions, buying video games, on one occasion I heard a person buy a \$2,000 paint ball gun.

And it might be a little bit off topic, but it's the same thing with EVT, people exploit it. I would like to see more regulation on that.

I read on your plan that in the section "rewards colleges for results with a Pell bonus and higher accountability" that you will be requiring colleges with high dropout rates to disperse student aid over the course of a semester as students face expenses, which is a good plan.

But at the end of the day they are going to get that money and they're going to be able to do whatever they want with it.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	I would like to echo a few of the
2	things that a lot of people have mentioned aside
3	from the abuse of financial aid. As I mentioned
4	before, my high school did not have the proper
5	information, and I believe that if we are going
6	to create a rating system that information
7	should be available to our high schools
8	especially in disadvantaged communities such as
9	here, Carson, Compton, and the Los Angeles area.
10	Another thing that I have trouble
11	with is maybe not myself, but some of my peers

with is maybe not myself, but some of my peers have had trouble with is getting a job because our colleges they do prepare us with the proper material, but our colleges do not prepare us with the proper skills.

If a student is not active in a student organization, he's not going to get the ability to lead, he's not going to get the skill to lead, the skills to communicate effectively to their peers.

This is something that businesses look for. I work as a server in a restaurant and

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I have a regular customer there who works for Technicolor, one of the biggest digital media firms, digital editing media firms, and he says if you cannot communicate effectively and you don't have the skills to push that button yourself, they're not going to hire you.

They're looking for students that have some skills. They're not looking to train anymore because training is expensive for businesses. Obviously if you're a Fortune 500 such as maybe Deloitte or Ernst & Young or Proctor & Gamble, you have a lot money in your wallet and you will be able to pay for that training.

But for other business, such as local businesses, or even around our campus we have more than 100, I would say, local businesses. They don't have that money to pay for the training. They want students who already have skills.

I also believe that part of the new education initiative should be, or should have

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

somewhere a section that encourages businesses to work with schools, with colleges, because if they're encouraged to do so they'll be able to hand out more internships and that's something that is very vital to the success of a student when they're pursuing a career.

And I believe there are some courses here at Dominguez Hills that help you get internships, but they're very competitive and most of those internships go to schools such as USC, Berkeley, Stanford, Harvard.

I got the opportunity to meet a recruiter from Paramount Pictures and he says some of his colleagues that are also talent seekers, or so they call themselves, if you're not from Harvard or you're not from Stanford they'll just like throw your resume away and that's just it, like they'll throw it away just because you're not from Harvard.

And I would like to echo what the sir that stood here before me said, that performance based assessments are not good for the students.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	They're good for the university, for the
2	college, for the high school, but they're not
3	good for the students because if professors
4	start focusing on performance then the students
5	are not going to get the skills they need.
6	They're just going to be given
7	material, they're going to take a test, and the
8	people that and they're going to be encouraged
9	to pass. And then also my experience was also
10	in the high school that I attended, it was
11	performance based and they did drop the bar.
12	They lowered standards so they can
13	increase graduation rates which is unethical,
14	but they did it because they could. And I
15	believe that if, you know, some universities
16	have that they're going to start lowering the bar
17	and, obviously, the GPA is not going to be
18	representative to what it should be.
19	With that said, I thank you all for
20	listening to me and thank you.
21	(Applause)
22	MS. STUDLEY: Mr. Lopez, thank you

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

	very much for that very effective and skilling
2	communication.
3	MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.
4	MS. STUDLEY: We really appreciate
5	that.
6	MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.
7	MS. STUDLEY: Good luck.
8	(Applause)
9	MS. STUDLEY: Sean Fleming.
10	MR. FLEMING: Hello everyone.
11	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you.
12	MR. FLEMING: My name is Sean
13	Fleming. I'm from Congresswoman Maxine
14	Waters's office and I just want to take a minute
15	to talk about the Parent PLUS Loan Program.
16	In September of 2011 the U.S.
17	Department of Education clarified their
18	interpretation of the adverse credit in the PLUS
19	Loans Program to match the other four federally
20	funded student loan programs.
21	This change has resulted in hundreds
22	of thousands of undergraduate and graduate

students being denied access to a higher education as a result of a charge off or 90-day past due delinquency on their or their parents' credit reports over the past two years.

Now parents almost need a near spotless credit history to fulfill their child's dreams of completing college with the federal government's help. This denial to access is completely inexcusable, especially during a time where college education is paramount to our children's and nation's success.

letter Ι know a was recently, on October 16, on behalf of the Congressional Black Caucus and it was addressed to Secretary Duncan and I don't know if there was a response yet, not to my knowledge there was no response, but the attention is to minorities who themselves and their families lack the access of credit in their communities because under-banked communities and access to credit.

So the Congressional Black Caucus as well as the Congresswoman is asking the

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Department of Education to look into this and possibly revise the policy back to the original policy, before 2011, so that minorities and their families can continue to be able to be in a position to pay for education and take out loans that will pay for their education. That's all I have.

## (Applause)

MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much. We appreciate your being here. Is Provost Schauer here at this point? Mr. Lundgren or Ms. In that case James Mann from Charles Clayton? Drew University. Thank you very much.

As you can tell I've decided to take people as they are here so that we don't break up and try and reconvene. So I appreciate that, but don't hesitate if you need a break to step out and return to the room. Thank you very much, sir.

MR. MANN: Good morning. Thank you. Where do Т want -- this has been very enlightening. I've spent my career in higher

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	education and it spans a globe of starting in the
2	early '70s at the University of Connecticut and
3	at Brown, New Jersey Department of Higher Ed,
4	University of Texas at Austin, University of
5	Nebraska at Lincoln, Oregon University system,
6	the CSU, and now I'm the Senior V.P. and Chief
7	Operating Officer at Charles R. Drew University
8	of Medicine and Science.
9	We're a health professions
10	institution located right here in South Central
11	L.A. and we are 100 percent 100 percent of our
12	student body requires financial aid in order to
13	be able to gain their education.
14	We are a historical black graduate
15	institution and we are also a Hispanic serving
16	institution. We are a private university with
17	a public mission. And as a result, it takes on
18	a whole different perspective about what it
19	costs to get an education.
20	So I have some practical I'm one
21	of those dreaded business officers that works in

the financial business and administrative area

and has a practical perspective on what it costs for education.

Affordability definitely affects accessibility. We are an industry that is changing. We are in transition, we are moving from where state support has been the predominant way that higher education has been funded, particularly on the public side.

And we're transitioning from that public support to where the customer now has to pay for that education and it's taken on an incredible burden for that customer as they start to pay for that cost themselves.

But yet when we look at and talk with our legislature, they're faced with a predicament of where do we get more tax dollars in it. I don't know anybody that wants to pay more taxes, but where do we get more tax dollars to fund education.

And when legislators are faced with funding programs for children or funding programs for our parents, higher ed has lost

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

ground because one single concept, we have a way of funding our own cost of doing business.

They simply have asked us to become more efficient, more effective in what we do, and don't have double digit increases. Stay at 9.9 or below in order for it to palatable with the community.

What I wanted to address from a practical standpoint, three things that I want to mention. The disadvantaged, underserved institutions, such as I come from, they are highly dependent upon financial aid. Whatever system is developed there needs to be at least consideration because one size doesn't fit all.

Secondly, any time there's a rating system, and I'm going to ask you to go back to the '90s and think about U.S. News and World Report when it came on the scene. What impact has that had on higher education after 25 years?

I don't know a President that doesn't want an advanced copy of that document,

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

that publication, in September to see where they

2.1

fall, where their school falls. And the kinds of changes that have been made as a result of the U.S. News and World Report grading system in colleges and universities across America could have the same implications with the rating system that we are talking about. I applaud the fact that we're going to do something, and nothing is perfect in this world and you kick something off and you refine it and you grow, but you have to cautious of what the impacts will be, such as what U.S. News and World Report brought to our industry.

And lastly, as this rating system is developed, it's a score sheet as I've heard many people mention, those business officers who are younger, innovative, creative entrepreneurs, where I was one time, will come up with ways to tweak the system to gain the advantage. And I am concerned about the marketing that will go on, and the cost of education will actually increase because it will become a supply and demand. And the higher the rating, because there's going to

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	be somebody that's high and there's got to be
2	somebody that's low, those that score high will
3	be the choice of other individuals to go to
4	school and we'll begin to ratchet up the cost to
5	take advantage of that simply because of supply
6	and demand.
7	So one portion of the three is to
8	simply recommend that maybe a board or a team of
9	business officers who will be the ones that tweak
10	this system, that you could form a broad, diverse
11	group of those individuals to help you work
12	through the pitfalls as you develop this new
13	system. Thank you very much.
14	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you.
15	(Applause)
16	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you, very much
17	appreciate those comments. Is, you've heard
18	these names, Provost Schauer, Mr. Lundgren, or
19	Ms. Clayton here? In that case, Joshua Zuniga.
20	Is Joshua with us? Wonderful, thank you,
21	Joshua. Thank you all.
21	Joshua. Thank you all.

ZUNIGA: Well most of my

MR.

1	classmates are leaving right now, but we are, and
2	we are in the class of Ms. Kate Fawver who was
3	here earlier today.
4	MS. STUDLEY: Yes, excellent.
5	MR. ZUNIGA: And it was my duty to
6	come here today to take notes for an essay, but
7	I decided
8	MS. STUDLEY: And see Government in
9	action, yes?
10	MR. ZUNIGA: Yes. I decided to
11	something more because I felt obligated. My
12	name is Joshua Isaiah Zuniga. I am a CEO of a
13	company called Never Fade Away. I work with the
14	parents of WASC and I work with the Mayor of
15	Compton to try to change the youth's lives.
16	But I don't know how much motivation
17	you guys get on an everyday basis in all these
18	conventions and stuff that you guys do, but I
19	wanted to come up here to give you some type of
20	motivation and I know a lot of people here in
21	Dominguez Hills because I am a student here and

I hope to be able to lead in some type of field

one of these days. And a lot of people come up
to me, that I know, talking about financial
problems that they do have because they are
college students here. And we might
not all need to college. We might need to go and
do a lot of things, but we want to do these
things, and because we do want to do things that
we should have the type of support for us to be
able to achieve because what we do want, we do
want to change society. That's what we all do
want. And when you guys are listening to these
people, I don't want you guys to, you know, tell
us that okay, well we're going to try to make a
difference, because if you say that you guys are
going to try, that means there's a possibility
for failure.

You know, I want you guys to be able to say it like, you know, I do, we will do this, we will do this, because if you say that in your mind that means it's going to happen, and I'm a firm believer of doing that because in my personal life I have been able to do that and say

1	I will do it, and it happens. Also, like we all
2	here go through different scenarios and
3	situations and we don't all expect you to
4	sympathize, but we do expect you guys to
5	empathize and because God has blessed you with
6	the power in the positions that you guys do have,
7	to create some type of change, I want you guys
8	when you guys wake up every morning to say like
9	okay, well we will go through this change for the
10	people, because we're not at the point where
11	we're able to do these things, but you guys are.
12	I'd like to end by saying, you know,
13	Cesar Chavez had a dream, Gandhi had a dream,
14	Martin Luther King had a dream, and at the end
15	of the day we all have a dream. So if you can
16	help us that would be amazing. Thank you.
17	(Applause)
18	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you, Mr.
19	Zuniga. That was perfect. I'm sorry we didn't
20	take you while your classmates were still here,
21	but they probably heard that. At this point,

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

we've taken everyone who was signed in that we're

1	aware of. We still are a little
2	MR. GOMEZ: There's some that are
3	that are scheduled later.
4	MS. STUDLEY: Right. The three
5	people we still have for the morning schedule are
6	Schauer, Lundgren, and Clayton, but the times
7	that they were given weren't until about 11:30,
8	so are there any afternoon people, is that what
9	you're saying?
10	MALE PARTICIPANT: Rachelle Mintz,
11	Shelly.
12	MS. STUDLEY: Ah, was earlier.
13	Okay, excellent, let's take Ms. Mintz. That's
L4	perfect, thank you very much.
15	MS. MINTZ: Thank you, sorry.
16	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. No, we
17	accelerated. I'm glad you identified yourself.
18	Thank you very much. That's perfect.
19	MS. MINTZ: So my name's Rachelle
20	Mintz. I'm a recent transplant from New York
21	City and currently a professional photographer
22	for a sports photography company, which is not

very important, but whatever. When I was 17 there was nothing in the world stopping me from going to the School of Visual Arts in New York City, which was one of the most prestigious schools before the decline in the economy.

There was nothing stopping me, not my family's inability to fund it, not my lack of knowledge about student loans and how they work, nothing at all. With all the research I had done this was supposed to be the degree I would have that, if put on my resume, was a shoe in to any job I wanted in my field. I applied and I was accepted to the school of my dreams and I was going, period. Not coming in the slightest from money, the next step was finding the funds. parents couldn't take on the job so my older brother who was, at that point, a lucrative carpenter with his own business, decided he would be my cosigner as my parents just couldn't handle it.

So each year we had to sign up for new loans from different lenders, you know,

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Sallie Mae, Nellie Mae, Chase, CitiBank, all the banks you could think of I have a loan from them. So he signed away the risk of his credit being destroyed at about \$40,000 per year and it was all for the cause of his little sister being the first person in his family, sorry I'm getting emotional --

MS. STUDLEY: That's okay.

MS. MINTZ: -- to make it through college and obtain a degree that would be priceless in the years to come. So at 18 I agreed to take on this debt with the notion that after I was done I would have a year grace period to find any job I wanted and easily start paying back my debt.

I worked hard in college, I got straight A's, several internships to cover the entire spectrum of the photography business, and I graduated as one of the top in my class. I was 21 years old with this shiny degree and I was on top of the world. And that grace period came and went, and here I am four years later without the

2.1

slightest dent paid back in the money I owe. The economy crash of '09 lent a helping hand to my brother claiming bankruptcy and, in turn furthering his debt brought on by me, and my inability to afford these exorbitant amounts to Federal and private lenders.

At this time my loans remain in default. My phone continues to ring and my money situation stays the same. There's a huge rift between my brother and I and we haven't spoken in almost a year.

After several conversations with debt collectors, Sallie Mae and private lenders, not a single one of them will budge and allow me to pay what I can afford. There's no way at all to refinance and the option to claim bankruptcy is non-existent. In my opinion the entire system is broken. The Government has become far too touchy-feely regarding everyone having the chance to go to college and handing money to families and individuals who haven't the slightest chance of paying back hundreds of

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

thousands of dollars in their lifetime.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

So in my opinion, again, the universities have taken full advantage of this by inflating tuitions and staff salaries because they know the money is there and don't care how a person like me will pay them back as long as they are paid at the end of the day. As long as the money that is lent is endless the cost of tuitions will continue to rise and this national problem will continue to become more and more real and less resolvable.

underlying and most obvious problem is that school's filter students into financial aid offices, across the nation to help them apply to any and every loan that is available without any incentive whatsoever to tell these kids the truth. The truth being is, what's going to happen after those four magical years when reality sets in and they are getting a phone call every hour on the hour with threats to send your loans to collections, garnish your already low wages, or to go after

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

co-signor's assets.

2.1

At this point the debt collection calls are my alarm clock every day at 8:00 in the morning, and a reminder at 10:00 and 12:00, and so on until about 9:00 daily. To end, the fact of the matter is I really want to pay back the \$100,000 plus that I owe. I want to make my payments each month. I want to repay the Government and private lenders for the unforgettable education I received, but it's nearly impossible.

I feel like I'm drowning every day.

There needs to be a realistic refinancing option in place. There needs to be financial advisors who actually advise students on the most economical way to get the education they want, not how to get the money as quickly as possible. And, most importantly, there needs to be a massive decline in the cost of a good education in this country, as that is the most significant asset a young person can have joining the workforce and leading this country into the

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	generation to come. Thanks.
2	(Applause)
3	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you, Ms. Mintz.
4	Thank you very much. We'd like to follow up with
5	you. Ms. Schauer, Mr. Lundgren, and Ms.
6	Clayton, are any of you here? In that case we
7	will take a break. We will come back at 11
8	oh, good, good. I'm sorry, I didn't see that,
9	excellent. Good, we may just keep flowing and
10	catch up with your being nice and early. Thank
11	you very much. Please identify yourself.
12	PROVOST SCHAUER: Ariane Schauer.
13	MS. STUDLEY: Thanks.
14	PROVOST SCHAUER: I'm the Provost
15	of Marymount California University. Good
16	morning. We would like to commend the
17	Administration for recognizing the important
18	role of higher ed and for engaging the
19	conversation on access, quality, value,
20	continued relevance, and impact. We appreciate

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

as individual institutions add value.

the call to communicate how we, as a sector and

21

22

We

recognize that higher education is a private good in that it advances individual earning power; a public good in that it develops the labor force and the citizenry; and a key industry, an American university degree remains a much sought after global community, global commodity.

The structure of the global economy has been changing and we're feeling those pressures and higher ed is called upon to adapt and prepare students, not for one career, but for many. So private nonprofit colleges and universities are helping address these needs. We serve a great diversity of students, including first generation students. We are nimble and can offer multiple entry points and a range of programs and certificate courses to respond to labor force needs.

We compliment classroom learning with mentored, practical, applied learning opportunities to prepare them for their lines of work and service. And we are looking at the

2.1

cumulative impact of the degree intentional progression of learning prepares students for effective innovation, service, and leadership. Meeting these many needs and serving these diverse students will require a diversity of programs, approaches, modalities, and entry points. So, regarding a college rating system, we favor a sufficiently broad array of measures to allow schools to choose and showcase what they do best and how they best meet student needs.

And we recommend a realistic implementation time line. The risk lies in reducing to a standard metric and a cookie cutter approach that would in the end reduce choices and access and innovation. A rating system built around too few factors could over time lead to greater standardization and less innovation. Specifically, the emphasis on earnings in the President's outline, for example, suggests that institutions producing graduates that go into lucrative fields may be regarded as more

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

valuable than those who graduate into public service, the ministry, or the arts.

Furthermore, a job within six months of graduation is an insufficient measure of the degree's contribution to lifetime а of adaptability and entrepreneurship, serve as a better indicator of the macroeconomic cycle than of an individual college's value We recognize that it is more difficult to serve students swirling across institutions. Adding credits does not necessarily add to a cumulative progression and impact. should therefore take into account both inbound and outbound transfer and follow up on degree completion and success across institutions.

An institution offering an education that demands high faculty student interaction will likely have higher overhead costs, but offer meaningful preparation in some fields, be it through individualized feedback, internships, media, leadership, self-assessment, and professional direction.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

A student is most likely to succeed at a college which is a best fit for them, and we would not want to see our Federal Government discourage attendance at a best-fit institution by offering a student more money to go elsewhere.

After all one of the great strengths of American higher education is the diversity of institutional choices we offer. So in closing, we would like to remind ourselves that American higher ed is one of our key industries and one of our key areas of global comparative advantage. We are pleased that the President has indicated that he does not wish to develop this proposal in a vacuum, and will reach out to the higher education community in further defining the initiative.

We are very pleased to be part of this great American tradition and we seriously look at our role within the global economy as well as within the higher education development of students and we ask to please work together to highlight our strengths and our continued

2.1

1	relevance. Thank you.
2	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
3	I appreciate that, Provost.
4	PROVOST SCHAUER: Thank you.
5	(Applause)
6	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you so much.
7	The only other name that I had from the morning
8	who has not spoken is, Lawrence Bradford. I
9	believe we weren't clear if that was just an RSVP
10	or if testifying. Everyone else who was
11	registered up until this point has spoken. Mr.
12	Lundgren or Ms. Clayton have you arrived? We're
13	ready and can take your remarks now. Thank you
14	very much, appreciate it.
15	MR. LUNDGREN: Hi.
16	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you.
17	MR. LUNDGREN: Good morning.
18	MS. STUDLEY: Good morning.
19	MR. LUNDGREN: Thanks for the
20	central location. I didn't have to go all the
21	way up to L.A. I'm coming down from San Diego,
22	so a good way to come in.

MS. STUDLEY: Oh, good. Glad it was convenient.

MR. LUNDGREN: I'm speaking to you with a number of hats. The only official one is a parent, a person at large who has been impacted and is continuing to be impacted with a college freshman right now. My hats that I wear are present CEO of Access College Foundation, a member of the Board of Directors of the National College Advocates Group, and I'll probably forget everybody, a member of the Student Committee, Southern California College Access Network, the High School Relations Committee of the California Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators and the Western Association of College Admissions Counselors, to get both sides of the house, the money and how to get in.

Over a decade of serving students, multi-cultural, my mother's Cuban, so I'm bilingual Spanish, and really felt this myself, at quite young and didn't actually go to college so I'm trying to help the ones who maybe are in

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

the same situation I was way back then, do better and achieve, so as a way of a brief intro for you.

going again through Just the statements and trying to look, and I just kind of paraphrased little things that Ι throughout the paper, and just want to give you my two cents worth as it were. Again, more as dad who's already had one through and thankfully he's through with his internship and getting a job and one who's just starting hers. Again, the increase in the historic investments affordability, increasing college maximum Pell Grant for working and middle class families by more than 900, I would just say it's okay to call it low-income rather than middle class because low-income is who we're serving.

The majority is 20,000, approximately 20,000-30,000 that are getting the Pell Grants so let's just, you know, say what it is, it's fine. It is a low-income help and it's very much needed because with the Pell and the Cal Grant, and our State is a great example of something

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

that could be done Nationwide as far as 5,000 for, these are approximate numbers, for the Cal State, the State Universities, 10,000 for private schools, 12,000 for RUCs and assistance statewide.

You could do tiering like that to really help, not just, let's get you in somewhere, but let's get you somewhere where you maybe actually can go and can achieve to, for those that are better performers. It helps a lot to tier it like that if possible. I know there's not enough money as there is, but another way to slice the pie if you will. Creating the American Opportunities Tax Credit, thank you. I received \$3,000, my family and I, in that over the five, the five and one and one.

A little bit more than what we had before, keep it up and keep it going, please. Enacting effective student loan reforms, my only thing about that one is, if we've got to crow about better loans then we're really heading in the wrong direction and, you know, when the rates

2.1

are still higher than anyone can get a HELOC or a second on their home, it's really nothing to cry about. I know historically it was the least expensive paper, you could get education loans, but we need to get back to that somehow, because it's exorbitant and I think it's just ignorance because we, as parents, just have this little blip of time that we cross through this and then we're off and on to the retirement and everything else with them.

I think if everyone Nationwide was impacted at the same time with this, we'd have a lot more of people up in arms about it, but we need to work on those rates. Paying for performance is really great, an excellent theory. The actual impact, it reminds me of the joke about how much is one and one when they're doing an interview to bring people in and, you know, the first person, of course, says two, and the next person, an engineer, say a negative one or a positive one, you know, what do you got? Then the attorney comes in and goes how much do

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

you want it to be? So, you know, statistics can be made to give you what you are asking for, essentially, so be careful there.

Tying the financial aid college performance to starting publishing new college ratings, I think academia and a number of people have been up to here with the prom, popularity contest that currently exists. please, the sooner the better. I've heard 15 or 18 as far as gauges of what will happen, it can't be soon enough. Thank you for that one. Challenge the States, Feds versus States again, about how it got to California. We're cut to the We're known for our history within this bone. State, but six, seven years now we still cut and still cut and still cut, and CSU, two to three years ago embarrassingly did an eight and then a 12 percent rate increase on tuition, so I think if all the students outside here knew what was really going on they might be here up in arms going enough is enough.

So, yes, if that can leverage any,

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

you know, pressure to the States to fund this appropriately, God bless you, we need it, desperately in this State particularly, embarrassing low across country. Hold the students to responsible aid towards getting the degree, good move. Get the career stipends the heck out of the way, please, because that's all they're there is posing, and let the students who are actually there to get through and get a career started and become a good thing for our economy, let that happen, please.

As far as the challenges in the promoting innovative and competition, the MOOCs, and then I've already seen Bank of America commercials with the Khan Academy, which is just tremendous, so if you want to get creative and innovative I think that's a great way to go. Again, the clear and transparent information, clear and transparent, same thing on college's performance, another website, but perhaps this will be incorporated within the existing so we don't have to just have something else.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

Parents get very, at least the input I get, it's not my particular statement, but the ones I work with, they get very frustrated with, how do I find all this because yes, we have the internet, and oh my God we have the internet, right, because Google it and what happens. So there's pros and cons and the better to the school, not even district anymore, but school-specific information will be able to distribute this and have good impact and it will

be very appreciated and used most importantly.

Again, a great one here, encourage innovation by stripping away unnecessary regs, right? Who gets to decide? You're not taking my reg, I'm sure they fought hard enough to get them in place, but no Don Quixote's dissuaded here. Ensuring that student debt remains affordable, my only grief on the whole idea of income-based and pay as you earn is that we're extending out the interest so there's a way to freeze the interest cap, that or something, that would just be a God send again.

Reach out to struggling borrowers,

yes, I think a lot of that's happening now on the

trying to make them aware of the options that are

available, so maybe just strengthening that is

all there, but there are good efforts in that

thankfully. And then other statements just

within, those are like the three big bullet ones,

just within the doc a couple things that, again,

these are just me on my Soho soapbox trying to

say, you know, don't believe this. The average

borrower now graduates with over \$26,000 in

debt, okay. We all hear this ad nauseam on every

maximum a student can borrow under our system?

It's \$5,500, \$6,500, and then \$7,500 twice,

that's \$27,000, okay. It's almost like the

unemployment numbers, we're not really getting

the whole picture, what you need to factor in are

the plus loans, and here's dad talking now.

Parent Loan for Undergraduate Students, never

comes out in the wash, and believe me that's the

lion's share, okay. So let's just go ahead and

school site. Well why is that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

# NEAL R. GROSS

What's the

be real just like employment thing, unemployment is very much worse than the numbers we're showing, same situation with student debt.

While you're definitely not alone in this incorrect -- oh, yes, this is just my statement to you that, you know, just for your system, while you're definitely not alone in this incorrect assumption, it's categorically the board, you're across following the herd and please consider the parents' liability as well, because I'm darn know we do, we have to. It's pocketbooks. Pay as You Earn and income based, I went over that as far as the interest costs If you can freeze them or cap them going up. that would be great. Pell eligible, you know all the stats, that 20 percent low-income end up getting the degree that's horrible, we've got to tilt it back the other way.

The one I really want to amplify for you, in the interest of time, is that typically college graduates will earn over 60 percent. So

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

anybody we can help who otherwise would not have gone to college is going to pay over 80 percent more in taxes than a high school graduate. So, again, coming at it from your perspective, you know, everybody listens to the same radio station, WYFM, what's in it for me, more taxes, a ton more, 80 percent more, per capita is a tremendous thing to boost on. Now that's College Board 2005 for your reference.

Help us, help you essentially what I'm trying to get across there. The last little bit is just an idea on, historically now we come out once a year and had the financial aid night and try to expose the parents and educate and here's what's going on and on, often it's seniors only which is a nightmare to do that late to them in the process. I've experienced it personally. I know what I'm talking from. So an idea would be that like once a quarter try to make contacts. In the Fall there's educational presentations on college, career, one or the other, more advanced, you know, both.

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Admissions and funding, let them know that light at the end of the tunnel is an oncoming train, it's not the sunlight at the end of that tunnel and let them know what's coming on. From freshman on, let them know so they can plan and understand the process better, be better prepared, be more realistic, not end up sending someone somewhere who's just going to come back and not finish and be a, you know, not a success.

As the IRS has incorporated into the FAFSA filing process, I would love for you to be able to also use the VITA Program and give that to the parents, particularly the ones that I'm working with in the nonprofit efforts and tie these together, because they're being abused and we are being abused in EICs that are going, that aren't eligible for, and the parent doesn't know. And then we're becoming, sorry to throw this to you, but known to the Government, through all these applications and trouble can happen.

So if earlier on, again, with the

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

freshman on everyone's invited from the high school for these Fall ones, let them know VITA is available to you, here's how it works, here's the income levels. All Pell people would obviously be easily for it, even Cal Grant in our State. So tying those together, just like we've made them do the IRS verification, let's do some good and, you know, balance it out a little bit and give them some positive, free assistance to do it correctly, the most important part.

Great, just two more. The Winter,
January to March, increased assistance for
filing the FAFSA filing completion, I know
you're ranking and showing percentages and
trying to show the schools like the puppy put
their nose in it, look, you guys aren't doing
very well, let's get the percentages up, put some
funding behind it to make those happen.

At every school, it becomes a thing where the soaps and everybody else, God bless them out there, but it becomes like two community colleges in the whole county, doesn't get it

2.1

done, they don't cross turfs.

2.1

So every high school needs its own FAFSA filing workshop, great goal to shoot for. Last item, thank you sir, Spring, April to May, also assign the monthly to-do for college prep, particularly critical for sophomores and juniors. Juniors can be advantaged so much to make their Fall a real piece of cake and kind of laughing at their friends going oh, that was July, or that August, with all the to-do items, okay.

No reason to stop for there and that can be given in the Spring, over summer, emails, however we're communicating electronically now.

I thank you very much for your time. At least I got to vent. I feel better.

(Applause)

MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much. We appreciate your perspective. Is Rita Clayton here? She is the only other person that we had this morning. I think we have no additional, Robert, we have no additional

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	walk-ins is that correct?
2	MR. GOMEZ: No walk-ins.
3	MS. STUDLEY: We're going to try and
4	work with her and see if she can present this
5	afternoon. You've all been wonderful about
6	staying with us for this. I hope you've found
7	it as interesting as we did and we certainly will
8	incorporate the many very excellent
9	perspectives.
10	We appreciate both the personal
11	stories and the broad ideas, the concrete policy
12	recommendations, and the more philosophical
13	things to think about and all of them will be used
14	as we follow forward on the President's goals and
15	challenge.
16	With that, we will break for the
17	morning and reconvene at 1:00 promptly, back
18	here in this room. We hope you can be with us
19	and I look forward to another round of speakers.
20	Thank you very much.
21	(Whereupon, the matter went off the record
22	at 11:34 a.m. and resumed at 1:09 p.m.)

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

1	A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N
2	(1:09 p.m.)
3	MS. STUDLEY: Good afternoon.
4	Good afternoon. I know for some of you, this is
5	welcome and some of you, it's welcome back. My
6	name's Jamie Studley. I'm the Deputy Under
7	Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education.
8	And I'm very pleased to be handling
9	and welcoming you to this very special open
10	forum. The first of four national open forums,
11	around the country, on the subject of the
12	President's initiative on value and
13	affordability in post-secondary education.
14	We had a very good morning with a
15	variety of interesting, constructive and varied
16	comments from students, faculty,
17	administrators, parents and advocacy
18	organizations. And we look forward to an
19	equally rich range of comments this afternoon.
20	We will be joined shortly, again, by
21	Under Secretary Martha Kanter, who is we're
22	splitting up so that we can multiply our force

1	here. She's at a faculty forum that we
2	scheduled and we have a student event later this
3	afternoon.
4	So we are trying to meet with a
5	number of clusters of people, who have important
6	things to tell us, about how we go forward.
7	I'm not going to repeat what we
8	talked about this morning, but there is a very
9	useful two-sided one-pager, with the Department
10	Seal, at the table that tells you about the
11	challenge that has been put to us, by the
12	President, and the work that we are doing and
13	need your advice on.
14	So as we did this morning, we'll take
15	people as the flow goes. We may ask some of you,
16	if you'd like to speak sooner than the time that
17	was allocated to you. And we're confident that
18	we will be able to take, at least, some of the
19	people who have indicated an interest here
20	today.
21	If any of you would like to make a

presentation, you can go out to the registration

table and let them know that. And when our colleague, Robert Gomez, comes back I'll introduce him. He can also receive your expression of interest in participating and sharing your thoughts with us.

I do want to mention, because it's often so tempting, that the format of these does not allow us to ask you questions, or follow-up, or engage in the dialogue that in some cases might be very attractive, given the issues that you've raised.

But please know that everything that people say here today, will be included in, not just in the formal record, not just in the pieces of paper, but actually used and thought about, by us, as we shape a proposal to present a rating system that is constructive and does not create disincentives for the things that are important to us.

And I think in that framing document you'll see some discussion of those. We are genuinely taking notes and learning things from

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	you, as well as, finding some very crisp valuable
2	ways that people are saying things that will make
3	it possible for us to articulate suggestions and
4	concerns very effectively.
5	With that, I will tell you, the first
6	two people that we have signed up for planned
7	time slots are, Vivian Price and Conrad
8	Contreras. Is Professor Price here?
9	Wonderful. Thank you. Good
10	start, thank you.
11	MS. PRICE: Good afternoon. Good
12	afternoon, everybody. It's such an honor and a
13	privilege to be here speaking at CSU-Dominguez
14	Hills. And thank you so much, Deputy Under
15	Secretary Studley?
16	MS. STUDLEY: Studley, yes.
17	MS. PRICE: Studley, I think it is.
18	MS. STUDLEY: Right.
19	MS. PRICE: And Dr. Soo, for coming
20	here to listen to us today. And I know that
21	there's going to be many wonderful, valuable
22	perspectives that people put forward.

1	My name is Vivian Price and I teach
2	in interdisciplinary studies and I coordinate
3	labor studies here at Cal State-Dominguez Hills.
4	I'm also one of the E-Board members
5	of the California Faculty Union in Dominguez
6	Hills Chapter. We thank the President and the
7	Department of Education for holding these
8	hearings where such a variety of voices can be
9	heard.
10	Today I'm going to read a statement
11	from the Campaign for the Future of Higher
12	Education, which our Faculty Association is a
13	member, along with 65 other higher education
14	faculty and staff organizations from Hawaii to
15	New York and from Minnesota to Florida.
16	We appreciate the chance to share
17	our perspectives, based on experience with
18	students on campuses all around the country. We
19	would like to focus our comments on three issues
20	addressed in the President's plan.
21	The first is a topic too often
22	dismissed in discussions about the future of

1	higher education. The shameful state of public
2	funding for higher education in our country.
3	And I can say, that I was just on a
4	panel talking about the proportional rise in
5	prison construction in California that was
6	exactly proportional to the decline in state
7	investment in public education and it chilled my
8	blood.
9	We appreciate the fact that the
10	White House's Fact Sheet, released on August
11	22nd, 2013, noted that declining state funding
12	has been the biggest reason for rising tuition
13	at public institutions.
14	We agree. And we believe that any
15	plan to rescue college affordability simply must
16	start by facing harsh facts, such as these.
17	Between 2008 and 2013 state funding
18	for higher education, as a percentage of state
19	personal income declined by 22 percent, 22.6
20	percent.
21	States have cut their annual
22	investment in higher education by nearly half,

1	since 1980. And that's from the February 2013
2	Report from Post-Secondary Education
3	Opportunity.
4	Unless current trends change, many
5	states are literally in a race to zero in funding
6	higher education. And I just heard during lunch
7	that New Hampshire and Colorado are already
8	there.
9	No investment from the public.
10	That's what I understand from what I heard just
11	now. Is California going to follow this? This
12	would be a terrible shame and a disinvestment in
13	our communities.
14	We urge the President to provide
15	leadership in facing the social cost we are
16	paying for accepting decreased funding for
17	higher education as the new normal in America.
18	Our current situation is not normal.
19	It's not what I grew up with. It's not the
20	America that California was promised in the
21	master plan of the 1960s, when tuition was
22	supposed to be free and everybody would be

1	afforded a college education, if they could
2	qualify.
3	Reasonable alternatives do exist.
4	The Campaign for Higher Education has a report
5	on our Website at the futureofhighered.org.
6	And I just want to say for a moment that, you
7	know, we live this here at Dominguez Hills.
8	We live with, and throughout the CSU
9	System, we live with serving the populations of
10	our communities, our surrounding communities.
11	This is in our mission of our
12	university, here at Dominguez Hills, that we are
13	serving those communities, who survived the
14	uprising of 1965 and 1992.
15	This is why we're here. We're here
16	to work with the community, to create a place
17	where for graduates to work in public service,
18	to work in private industry, to do wonderful
19	things.
20	And we have such wonderful students
21	and faculty and staff here. How shameful that
22	public funding is not available in the same way

1	that it is for prison construction. So we want
2	to start there.
3	We need the President and the
4	Department of Education's leadership to get
5	these alternatives on the table for
6	consideration. And they are on our Website,
7	futureofhighered.org.
8	A second area of concern for us
9	involves the Administration's emphasis in
10	apparent uncritical endorsement of MOOCs and
11	online technology. A solution of the problems
12	of access and cost and higher education
13	problems.
14	I teach online. I teach
15	face-to-face. I understand the value of both.
16	And I understand the problems of both. And so
17	do many of our students.
18	And we are concerned about reliance
19	on a technology that doesn't allow students to
20	have that one on one pier and faculty
21	interaction. A feeling of a cohort face-to-face
22	talking to one another. That's so important.

1 And, yes, technology is wonderful 2 and can be a way that people can minimize some of their classes, class time, or using clip 3 4 models. 5 But to rely on the technology as a 6 cost effective way to provide under-served 7 communities education would be a terrible, terrible tragedy. 8 Nowhere, for instance, have we seen 9 10 acknowledgment of the dismal completion rates in MOOCs, or the demographics of those who are 11 successful in those courses. 12 13 And this is widely known. That 14 MOOCs are best for people who already have 15 degrees and want to learn more, or highly motivated individuals, who have the time and the 16 technology at home to use these, you know, 17 advanced technologies. 18 19 The students raised the question, 20 whether that format is best suited for many of them who are desperately in search of a degree 2.1

and a future, where their degree is valued, just

1	as the degrees of the elite schools are valued.
2	So we reviewed the research on these
3	and on other issues related to MOOCs and online
4	higher education and other series of papers
5	released over the last several weeks. Again,
6	they are on our Website,
7	futureofhighereducation.org.
8	And these papers we examined have
9	the realities of the digital divide; make basic
10	access to online courses much more problematic
11	for some groups.
12	In fact, substantial evidence shows
13	that the digital divide remains a reality for the
14	very students that online promoters claim they
15	want to reach, low-income students, students of
16	color and academically under prepared students.
17	One has to go no further than to look
18	at the Udacity Experiment in San Jose State,
19	earlier this year, to take a look at some of those
20	problems.
21	Okay. All right, so a third area of
22	concern involves the proposals to tie student

1	aid funding to graduation rates and other
2	measures.
3	As others have pointed out, tying
4	funding to graduation rates has the potential

And I appreciate hearing that from you today.

for very negative, unintended consequences.

To protect their ratings colleges could simply decrease standards as screened out less prepared applicants to increase their ratings, as we have seen some K through 12 schools do, when faced with similar incentives.

We have to be sure to support and develop those institutions that promote social equality by serving less advantaged student populations, even in the face of ever shrinking resources.

Let me end by emphasizing that the Campaign for the Future of Higher Education and we believe that the vast majority of faculty and staff in higher education hunger for change, that will expand opportunities for a rich college experience and that will enable us to

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	better prepare students for full participation
2	in our democratic society.
3	We look forward to working towards
4	that goal, as we also work to preserve the
5	diversity and the freedom that have made higher
6	education in the United States the envy of the
7	world. Thank you.
8	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. I
9	appreciate that. I hope that you and everyone
10	else who has their testimony in written form will
11	submit it to college feedback at ed.gov, so that
12	we and others can have the benefit of it in your
13	full text. Thank you. Our next presentation,
14	our next testimony is from Conrad Contreras.
15	MR. CONTRERAS: Thank you for
16	giving me the opportunity to speak on behalf of
17	my fellow students. My name is Conrad Contreras
18	and I'm third year at UCLA and I'm also Board
19	Member for the United States Student
20	Association.
21	I come to you today because just like
22	many other students, I'm struggling to pay for

1	my education. But I also come to you today
2	because I have optimism that the Department of
3	Education will do something about this.
4	Education is a right and everyone
5	should have equal opportunity in accessing
6	higher education. Right now, higher education
7	is not affordable and accessible to many
8	communities, especially those that continue to
9	face the effects of years and generations of
10	oppression.
11	College is too expensive. The
12	average student loan debt is around \$28,000;
13	much worse is that with these loans come high
14	interest rates that further discourage students
15	in accessing or remaining in higher education.
16	I believe that there should be zero
17	interest rates. Education for the future of
18	this nation should be a priority to the
19	government not a profit.
20	If there is a financial need for
21	interest rates, then the interest rates should

go back to students and benefit them. The funds

1	that come from interest should be used to
2	increase financial aid accessibility, funding
3	for universities, in general, and working with
4	campuses to help them fund access and retention
5	programs on campus.
6	The Higher Education Act should not
7	only improve funding for GEAR UP and TRIO
8	Programs, it should also create funding for
9	access and retention programs of universities
10	across the nation.
11	And the Department of Education
12	should work with each public university to
13	provide funding for outreach and retention
14	programs.
15	Furthermore, the Department of
16	Education should support investing in
17	graduation and not incarceration. A school to
18	prison pipeline exists in this country, in which
19	youth of color are pushed to prisons.
20	This is often blamed on the students
21	instead of blaming the institution. Therefore,

the Department of Education should recognize

this and publically oppose policies that further push students of color to prisons.

FAFSA, for example, should ban the box that asks the applicant, if they've been drug-related crime. charged with a The Department of Education must recognize that with environment youth and disadvantaged an communities are in, there's a higher chance that students will make mistakes at an early age, but that should not bar them from accessing higher education, by being ineligible to receive financial aid.

With the changing demographics of the country, with people of color being the majority in a couple of decades, Department of Education must act in urgency to change the low access of historically marginalized communities to higher education.

And understand that this isn't only an investment to American people from these communities, but also an economic and social investment to the whole country. Thank you.

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
2	Appreciate that.
3	(Applause)
4	MS. STUDLEY: The next presenter
5	will be Dr. Ochoa. And then we will slot in
6	Kenneth Robinson.
7	DR. OCHOA: Good afternoon, and
8	thank you for the opportunity to address these
9	comments to you, Under Secretary Kanter and her
10	colleagues.
11	It's been an honor for me to have
12	served under you in the Department of Education,
13	to help contribute to make a reality of the
14	President's 2020 education goal for our nation.
15	I have some comments about the
16	affordability package. Some of these elements
17	that are there, were there already before I left
18	the Administration, and of course, I used to be
19	an advocate for them so it's not very likely that
20	I would find fault with them, and in fact, I
21	don't.
22	I think that Race to the Top for

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

Higher Education, I think, would really be a good program and it could have, just like we anticipated, it can have a catalytic effect on states that could organize for that competition and develop plans that may well be ultimately an act, even if those states don't get the limited funds.

First in the World, in particular, was a program that I think has great, great potential for helping higher education really explore and develop and research possibilities for using information technology in a way that fundamentally helps us restructure how learning takes place, taking advantage of the advances and learning science and cognitive psychology.

And this is something that is happening here and there, but it hasn't had the kind of push that other projects, for example, that DARPA has given impetus to in the science area that have had much broader benefits to society than just in defense.

So I think that DARPA, for learning

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

science, would be a fantastic initiative. And I think First in the World has the potential to do something like that.

I think streamlining regulations to encourage innovation is another very positive aspect of the President's proposal. I think one of the things that having been in the Administration at the time that we developed the credit hour rule, in spite of the fact that rule had, and I spent a lot of time telling my colleagues in high rate, about how that rule had caveats and qualifiers that would allow for innovation away from the credit hour rule, nevertheless, it had a chilling effect.

And so I think that we have to recognize that even when that's not the intent, it does happen. And so I think the Department could play a role, and a very important role, in encouraging with their regulations, or lack thereof, encouraging higher education to start moving away from the credit hour rule, to more of a basis on learning outcomes and

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

competencies.

2.1

And so in that regard, the fact that there are institutions now that are beginning to take advantage of the direct assessment option in the rules and that the experimental sites are being developed, I think is very positive, I think, and I encourage you to keep doing that.

In financial aid, I think I'm going to suggest something quite radical that hasn't really been in the discussion, up to now, which is that right now, Pell Grants and student loans, they're based on a FAFSA application that basically accepts whatever cost any institution posits, as what the applicant has to meet with first, their own resources and then, what the government provides in assistance.

So it's kind of a cost plus model. Whatever the university says it costs to educate somebody, that's just accepted. And, you know, it's a little bit like the defense industry in that regard.

And that creates incentives for

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

rising prices. And not so much in systems like the CSU, which is one of the most efficient ones in the country, but certainly in private institutions.

And it does seem, to me, that it's not a very good use of taxpayer money to provide, essentially what, I think one of my colleagues described today, as a voucher system for higher education.

When in fact, you know, we might be -- what we could do, is adopt an approach that would be a little more like Medicare, where you actually, the government establishes what is a reasonable cost for certain procedures and then won't pay beyond that.

So likewise, if our financial aid, rather than accepting whatever cost structure a university has, whether it's a low one, like a CSU, or extremely high like a private, would actually say well, you know, this is what the median cost of providing a higher education degree is in the country, right now, so we're

2.1

willing to support that much, but if you want to go somewhere where it cost more, you know, that's on your dime.

So that would create a very powerful incentive, I think, for institutions to keep their cost down. And for the truly elite institutions that have huge endowments, they would be able to provide their own financial aid for their students. So they would be able to afford access to hundreds of communities on their own resources.

On the ratings. This is, obviously, a very hot topic in higher education. I think that the basic idea of looking at, rewarding, recognizing and rewarding institutions that provide access and that are affordable is fundamentally sound.

On the outcome side, that's where I think it can be problematic. Graduate rates, of course, you're fully aware of the limitations of the current first time freshman four and six year graduation rates and the Department is working

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	to develop a series on part-time and transfer
2	students, which will take time.
3	But I think the most problematic one
4	is the earnings one. And there, I think, we have
5	to really, and that can be problematic on a
6	number of levels.
7	One, when comparing institutions,
8	in terms of the earnings of their graduates, the
9	research shows that most of the variation comes
10	between occupations.
11	And to the extent that majors
12	correlate with occupations, which is not a
13	perfect correlation, by any means, but to the
14	extent that they do, that's where the variation
15	comes from.
16	So if you compare institutions, even
17	institutions of similar types, like one
18	comprehensive to another. For example, if you
19	compare Cal Poly to Sonoma State, to give an
20	example, Cal Poly has a large engineering
21	programs and graduates from those programs

typically have high earnings.

	Sonoma	State	is	a .	libe	ral	arts
institution	. Many	more	stud	ents	go	into	the
social scie	nces the	re, the	ey're	not	goir	ng to	have
the same ki	nd of ea	arning	s.				

So even though they're both comprehensive universities, masters institutions, so under the Carnegie Classification would be in the same group, they still look very different in terms of what they produce. So that's something that would have to be factored in.

And that doesn't even address the question of should in fact the level of earnings be the measure of the quality of the work of the education, which is more philosophical, obviously.

But overall, I think that, oh, and one other thing that was mentioned in our lunch today, that I think is a point that's you're fully cognizant of, but I might as well state it here, which is to the extent that you're developing a rating system that would group

2.1

1	institutions, as opposed to a finely parsed
2	ranking system, I think, that would be a much
3	more robust approach.
4	Because if you do a ranking system,
5	that means that you would have to take a whole
6	host of indicators, give them weights, relative
7	weights, which would be inevitably arbitrary to
8	some extent, and then generate one single index
9	and then rank institutions based on that index.
10	And that would be a very reductive
11	approach that I think would really, it would be
12	simple, but it would be too simple. It reminds
13	me of Einstein's statement that a theory should
14	be as simple as possible but no simpler. Thank
15	you very much.
16	(Applause)
17	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
18	We're now going to hear from Kenneth Robinson and
19	then Natalia Abrams.
20	MR. ROBINSON: Oh my. Well first,
21	I'm going to try and bring this microphone up to
22	Secretary Duncan's level, somewhere in between

1	his height and mine. So just bear with me for
2	a second. Oh well, that seems to be the
3	threshold.
4	I don't have much time. I'm going
5	to make this brief as possible. I have a heart
6	procedure tomorrow that I have to attend.
7	However, I think this is so compelling, I should
8	be here. And my family wouldn't have it any
9	other way.
10	Basically, very shortly, the
11	instrumentality of success in education, I'm
12	somewhat familiar with. Being from Los
13	Angeles, my family arrived here in 1893.
14	In that time we produced a Nobel
15	Prize Winner, the current CFO of the University
16	System. So all that from a beginning in South
17	Central Los Angeles.
18	We were looking for an organization
19	that mirrored the success of Ralph Bunche. We
20	found that at Los Angeles City College.
21	Basically, it's an honor's college
22	within the college that has an intense dedicated

1	group of faculty that have taken students that
2	traditionally, would not be successful at this
3	level, some of them homeless, some of them
4	recoveries from rehab situations.
5	I now get a letter from them from
6	Harvard, Brandeis, Cornell, Princeton, Yale, as
7	graduates. Presumably, these students are not
8	equipped with the academic tools to make it at
9	the four-year level. It can be done.
10	First and foremost, performance
11	measurement. You can't throw money into a
12	vortex, impossible, without some
13	accountability.
14	Fortunately, I attend classes here
15	where there's very capable leadership in Dr.
16	Hagan and his staff so those immediate issues I
17	don't deal with, but in terms of what I do in my
18	off hours, that is my passion, that's my
19	volunteer efforts and my family.
20	We believe in it and I want to share
21	a couple of things with you. Hopefully, as you
22	leave here you'll review it, get in touch with

1	these folks, and just see what they're doing to
2	be so successful. It's, as I say, I
3	passionately believe in it.
4	And that being said, I wish you a
5	good time in Southern Cal. Dr. Kanter, you
6	being from De Anza Foothill Community College
7	District, you could certainly appreciate this,
8	as well, so if you'll allow me to share this with
9	you.
10	DR. KANTER: Of course.
11	MS. STUDLEY: Absolutely.
12	DR. KANTER: Thank you.
13	MS. STUDLEY: We appreciate it.
14	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you.
15	MS. STUDLEY: We appreciate your
16	volunteering, too, that's great.
17	MR. ROBINSON: I appreciate you,
18	too.
19	MS. STUDLEY: Thanks for being
20	here.
21	We will take Natalia Abrams. And
22	then we had Rita Clayton from this morning, I

1	think if she is available, we will take her after
2	Ms. Abrams, because we re-organized our schedule
3	a little bit. Natalia Abrams?
4	MS. ABRAMS: Yes.
5	MS. STUDLEY: Yes, thank you.
6	MS. ABRAMS: Oh well, they just
7	lowered it for the tall person.
8	MS. STUDLEY: Not realizing.
9	MS. ABRAMS: Hi. My name is
10	Natalia Abrams. I am speaking on behalf of
11	myself and studentdebtcrisis.org, an
12	organization I helped to co-found last year,
13	along with 20 plus organizations that are
14	working towards fighting for the student debt
15	crisis.
16	Personally, I went to UCLA. I
17	graduated in 2009 summa cum laude and was ready
18	to go to graduates school for a master's degree
19	in education.
20	At that time in 2009 the UC System
21	raised tuition almost 40 percent. I couldn't
22	afford to go to graduate school at that point.

1	I had to choose between helping my family
2	business survive after the financial crash, or
3	going to school. And unfortunately, I did not
4	continue on. I could not take on \$60,000 plus
5	more in debt.
6	I am not alone. I am actually not
7	the horror stories that we hear from the students
8	that we work with. I only had \$15,000 in debt
9	from two years of education, which is minor.
10	At StudentDebtCrisis.org we've
11	collected over 1,000 stories from individuals
12	detailing the student's situation. And I would
13	just like to read from a couple.
14	I mean, you know the general facts,
15	\$1.2 trillion in outstanding student loan. Of
16	that \$1.2 trillion, 60 percent of is by borrows
17	over the age of 30. So this is not a student
18	problem or a youth problem it's an everyone
19	problem.
20	So some of our stories, we have
21	Amanda Brown from Wilson, North Carolina, who
22	went to law school in the early '90s, for almost

1	three years, but could not graduate due to
2	disability issues.
3	She borrowed \$35,000, and with fees,
4	interest, penalties and capitalization combined
5	with forbearance and deferments, she now owes a
6	\$175,000 and her debt is going up \$10,000 a year.
7	Her quote is, "if I just had a ray
8	of hope, I believe I could make a decent
9	contribution to business and society, not to
10	mention supporting myself, instead of being or
11	food stamps and living off my brother and the
12	government. I want my self-respect back."
13	And then we have Sandy from Maine,
14	a 57-year-old single mother who had gone back to
15	college at 48. She had gone back to college to
16	extend her career and help her fund her
17	retirement.
18	At her technical school, which she
19	was told was two-year program, turned out to be
20	a four-year program. She ended up with \$65,000
21	in federal student aid and \$15,000 in private

loans.

She quotes, "I have devoted my life to my kids and working as a care giver in the mental health field and will not be able to support myself in retirement."

This is just a small glimpse of the 40 million people, borrowers that are out there. So from our campaign and Student Debt Crisis, we have some asks of the Department of Education. Our tasks are three parts on refinancing, default, and income based repayment.

In terms of refinancing from the Center for American Progress recently had an article that stated that it seems as though everyone, homeowners, corporations, even states and local governments are taking advantage of the currently historically low interest rate by refinancing their debt.

Refinancing allows the borrower to replace his or her existing debt with a new loan that has better terms. It's a win for individuals and for the nation as a whole. Why are there not refinancing options for students?

2.1

1	If I can purchase a home with a
2	cheaper rate than a student loan, and have the
3	ability to refinance it, why can't we do the same
4	thing for student loan borrowers?
5	In terms of defaulted borrowers, out
6	of the nearly 40 million borrowers seven million
7	have defaulted. This translates to about two
8	percent of our population that has had their
9	credit ruined as a result of student loan debts.
10	I'm sure you would know, as people
11	in this room, bankruptcy is not an option for
12	student loan debt, so default, as we say if a fate
13	worse death. You are just stuck in this no man's
14	land.
15	Nowadays, about 60 percent of
16	employers run credit checks on their applicants
17	before hiring and promoting making it close to
18	impossible for the millions of people getting
19	higher paying jobs to actually repay these
20	debts.
21	Not to mention, the 25 percent in
22	penalties, higher interest rates, and

1	currently, no government programs that we know
2	of, to help the defaulted borrower, this leads
3	to income based repayment.
4	And I'd also like to ask if there was
5	any way that there could be income based
6	repayment or pay as you earn for the defaulted
7	borrower?
8	How do we enroll these people that
9	want to pay? That's something we hear
10	constantly at Student Debt Crisis, I want to pay
11	my loan back but I don't know how.
12	I can't see the light at the end of
13	the tunnel because my interests rates are going
14	up and my fees are going up. In terms of income
15	based repayment, we'd like to know if there's
16	ways to create similar programs for private
17	student loan borrowers, as well as defaulted
18	borrowers.
19	We also want to know how can we make
20	this program more widespread and well-known.
21	Is the definition of partial financial hardship

clear, or does it need to be redefined?

Is the information about the program accessible and transparent? Where is the data of the success of the program and is enrollment growing and how can we know that?

And finally, I come with 10,000 petition signatures that were just acquired in the last 24 hours by the help of the Courage Campaign and ACCE, which is the Alliance of Californians for Community Empowerment, Refund California Coalition, along with our partner organizations, and as I said, in 24 hours.

And what we ask is that the U.S. Department of Education to investigate how much profit Wall Street and other lenders are making off of public colleges and crack down on the predatory lending of our students and schools.

We would like you to help release the data of the profit that Wells Fargo, Sally Mae, and other lenders are making from borrowers at California schools and to crack down on this predatory lending. I've included the signatures and each of the petition language for you guys.

2.1

And that's it.

2.1

(Applause)

MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. Thank you so much. Appreciate it. Rita Clayton. And after that, just so you know how to prepare, just one second, Mario Martinez and Allison De Lucca are next. Thank you, Ms. Clayton.

MS. CLAYTON: Thank you for allowing me to speak today. I received the email because I graduated from CSUDH, this university and I was very interested in the initiative.

I did read every bit of the College Affordability Initiative by President Obama and my thoughts are this, three things. First of all, as the lady just finished up, and the lady before her said, that we definitely need to have a better approach and more tax money, or government money released for, you know, for preserving and helping our colleges and universities and making it affordable in any kind of creative way we can and not have a tax

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

1 | burden.

2.1

I think that education is high priority and that it should get a big bulk of, you know, government funding. It should be allotted for, it's very important. And so I definitely believe in that. I back it 100 percent.

And second of all, I think the scorecard initiative part of it is a good idea, a very good idea, part of the initiative. I think that the best way to do it though, is to have it in a more accurate way, because for example, not just, you have to look at a lot of elements that score university as a good value.

For example, this university serves this community first and foremost, you know. Of course, it might serve other surrounding communities, but the first and foremost area it serves.

You have to look at the success rate here, based on the population that's going here, not compared to people that are living maybe in

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

Maine or something from a rich middle class family so, you know, you can't define it in that way. So to me this is a good example.

California State University is a successful and should be rated high on the score card as a good value, because the relative cost of attending this university and to what the success rate is and maybe say the earnings after the person graduates is what should come into account for the scorecard.

So if we can keep that score card part of the initiative, you know, do that right, do that in a good way, maybe as an example of what I was trying to explain is the best way for the score card to serve.

And also, keep the financial aid abuse down. You know, I like the way that the initiative says that they want to say it, where the students can't just get a lump sum and then some of them don't finish or might, you know, either abuse it or just not finish or not meet the requirements to pass and then the money's

2.1

already gone. So I think good creative ways to deal with or manage the financial aid is a very good component.

And then I think maybe, my third concern is to focus on outcomes. I think a lot of this money, you know, the initiative says we need to make it more affordable.

So somewhere in the whole process of the initiative is going to be, probably, trying to campaign and get monies from somewhere, the government, or more tax monies, or what have you.

So that money should in all the data, research, initiatives energy, proposals, it should focus on outcomes preparing students, again, in different settings, such as in this setting for California State University, not comparing it to people who have had high education all their lives, or were born rich, or senators, or you know, movie stars' children that have always had a lot of money where they could afford -- just to prepare all kinds of students so that they can meet the

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	higher standards, because we need to stay very
2	competitive in our country so that we can be
3	competitive with the world.
4	And my main thing is to not lower the
5	standards of education. You know, we can't make
6	it where it's easier for students, or let them,
7	you know, just pass with less requirements, we
8	have to keep the standards up high. So pretty
9	much, let's see, and pretty much that's my
10	comments.
11	And mainly that I think on the score
12	card again, California State
13	University-Dominguez Hills is an excellent
14	example of getting a high score and it has to take
15	into account the community that it serves, you
16	know.
17	So that's a way to do the score card
18	part of the initiative. So thank you, kindly.
19	And I'm hoping for the best out of the whole
20	initiative for all of us.
21	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you.

MS. CLAYTON: You're welcome.

1	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
2	MS. CLAYTON: Thanks.
3	MS. STUDLEY: Thanks for being
4	here. Thank you. Mario Martinez. We're
5	also, I'll just say, we're working out, you can
6	sort of, just one moment.
7	MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you.
8	MS. STUDLEY: We're working out a
9	scheduling situation involving a few students
10	who we had a communication issue, we may need to
11	slip them in. But why don't you go ahead and
12	share your thoughts.
13	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, I'm Mario
14	Martinez, and I'm an associated professor of
15	mathematics at Santa Monica College, where I'm
16	also on the college's Budget Committee. And I'm
17	also a Board of Governors for the Faculty
18	Association of California Community Colleges.
19	So I'm here representing 58,000
20	community college faculty, as well as 2.4
21	million students. And just to give you an idea
22	what that is, that's more students than all of

1	Cal State and all of UC combined. And as our own
2	esteemed Dr. Kanter knows that, as well. So we
3	represent a lot of students.
4	And I'm very just extremely briefly
5	going to go over a few things. Now first of all,
6	I know everybody on this Panel, of course, has
7	the, you know, wants the best for higher
8	education.
9	We know more money would solve a lot
10	of problems. And so let's take that as a given.
11	But what we're very worried about is unintended
12	consequences to policy.
13	And the Federal Government is
14	extremely powerful. And even when they mean
15	very well, it's very easy to have unintended
16	consequences.
17	For the first thing I would like to
18	discuss is performance based funding. We tried
19	that, or it was actually in our State's Higher
20	Ed Committee last year.
21	And it was defeated because, or did
22	not make it out of committee because of a report

1	by the fiscally conservative Legislative
2	Analyst's Office that said, if you were to do
3	that you would decimate community college
4	funding, literally.
5	I mean, you would be shutting down
6	community colleges all over the place. And so
7	they backed off from that, even though it sounds
8	good.
9	Yes, let's reward the community
10	colleges that are doing it right, let's punish
11	those that are doing it wrong. Anyway, so that
12	was backed off on.
13	But I understand what you're more
14	looking at is more of an incentive based funding,
15	correct? But you have to remember that whenever
16	you have incentive based funding, our course
17	offerings will follow the money.
18	You know, presidents, you know, they
19	have the best intentions at heart, but they want
20	to maximize their funding. And so if you have
21	certain majors and certain courses that have

high completion rates, you're automatically

1	going to offer more, you know, just naturally,
2	you're going to offer more of those courses and
3	offer less of the courses, such as chemistry,
4	physics, math, the stem courses.
5	You know, and that's just a natural

thing, which are going to make it harder for those students who already take longer to go through college to get their courses. So please, please, please look at that when you look at this, you know, this performance based funding.

Another thing I'd like to talk about is MOOCs. That is, again, that was a proposal actually in just a previous legislative session that was defeated.

And the reason for that, well first of all, as you so articulately described, was, our previous speaker. I forgot your name, ma'am, I'm sorry. It had terrible success in completion rates. And that is true, but as a mathematician, I'm not so worried about that.

I mean, I have no, I said that wrong,

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

I do worry about completion rates, right, but I'm more worried about standards. Because think about this, if you're offering — and the Bill that did not make it last year, was going to have the 50 most sought after lower division courses being allowed to be taught by a third person online group, you know, MOOCs.

But, you know, and MOOCs was one of the other way of gaining it. Now when you have that, just think about that. That means all the tests are open book, open note, open friend, you know, open internet, classes.

Now are you going to respect somebody, if your doctor says oh yes, you know, all my classes were taken open book, open note, you know, and open friend, are you going to respect that degree as much, I mean, or is that going to lower the academic standard?

And literally, I mean, I can't explain to you, it's not the same. Now also to mention that 15 percent of our current courses are being taught online in California.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	So we do have a lot of online courses
2	offerings within the community college system,
3	itself. And so, you know, to go to the MOOC,
4	first of all, MOOCs are great, they're great for
5	what, supplemental?
6	And I see you, when you were reading
7	your proposal, you admit that. If you're using
8	MOOCs in concert with on the ground courses or
9	online courses, that's fantastic.
10	I mean you're talking about the best
11	lectures, Harvard, Yale, Stanford, the best
12	people. I have no problem with that, but the
13	assessment part really is troubling to me, as
14	well as the completion rate part.
15	Finally, the last thing I'd like to
16	talk about is this college rating system. I
17	don't know if it's, at the community college
18	there is no admission requirement.
19	You don't have to have a high school
20	degree. You don't have to be able to read. You
21	don't even have to be able to speak
22	English in order to be accepted.

And so a lot of our colleges, like the gentleman just left here, LACC and LA Trade Tech College, that really hamstrings them where they have to, where that's going to bring down their success rates and it's going to make students look and the people who earn the degree from there, perhaps, aren't going to be having, you know, as much of a financial success as other institutions.

And so you put them on the rating card, and I teach at a very good college, Santa Monica College, as well as Foothill and De Anza, they're, you know, obviously, up there. I think they're number two, number one, we go back and forth in the state. Oh yes, sure, we'd like that.

And when you know that, what happened at Santa Monica College it used to have 26,000 students just 15 years ago and now it has 35,000 students.

And there is no official score card it's just everybody knows that we're the number

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	one transfer to University of California and Cal
2	State University.
3	Because of that, you know, all the
4	people from the inner cities, you know, they'll
5	go ten, 20 miles just to go to our community
6	college.
7	Now if you're going to have an
8	official, you know, official state document that
9	says this is number one, this is number two, this
10	is number three, that's going to make it even
11	worse.
12	And how is that going to also feel
13	to those colleges that aren't in the top that are
14	still doing good jobs. I mean, the students
15	that graduate from other older colleges are good
16	also. And those instructors are excellent.
17	It's just they happen not be anyway, I don't
18	want to get into all that.
19	So please consider that also. So
20	when you think about this college rating system,
21	please think about the unintended consequences

that it will be doing to the colleges on a lower

rank.

2.1

Of course it feels good to say hey, they've got to get their act together or else we're going to punish them financially. But, I mean, the reality of it is much worse.

And I don't want to bring up what's going to go on in the city of San Francisco, or community college. I'm just, you know, you're going to decimate that whole area. Anyway. And so thank you very much for your time.

MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. Thank you. We, because of a communication slip, we have three students that had been expecting to speak a little bit earlier, they did have times.

I just want to check, whether the people who have the times right about now, Ms. De Lucca, Ms. Murphy, Ms. Ochoa-Mayer, and Ms. Aranda, would have a problem if we take these three students right now? You would delay a little bit. You're okay? Good. Okay. I'm not sure I have the names of those three students. They came with Mr. Contreras.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	From UCLA. And I apologize that
2	because we didn't hear confirmations back, we
3	weren't sure if you wanted to do this. But would
4	you please tell us your names and we would
5	welcome to let you speak.
6	Yes. And Under Secretary Kanter,
7	would you like to say something?
8	MS. KANTER: Well
9	MS. STUDLEY: Here.
10	MS. KANTER: I was just going to
11	let you know that I'd like to listen, we have a
12	student meeting at 2 o'clock, so I'm going to
13	step out for that and then come back to this.
14	MR. O'GRADY: Okay, certainly.
15	MS. STUDLEY: So thank you very
16	much. We're trying to meet with people in many
17	different ways today. So thank you.
18	MR. O'GRADY: My name is Clinton
19	O'Grady. I'm from the University of California
20	Los Angeles. I'm a sociology major and I also
21	sit on the Board of Directors for the United
22	States Student Association

I'm basically here to say, as a middle class student, I'm from Irvine, California, which is a very privileged area. I have two middle class parents, who thank God, they support me throughout my education.

However, with the constant increases in the student interest rates and the constant increase in college tuition, education is not really affordable for students. Yes, it's not accessible anymore for us. And especially coming from a middle class family, I can't even imagine what it's like to come from underprivileged areas.

So we really need to make sure that we're constantly increasing accessibility and affordability of all universities, especially public universities within California.

So maybe creating greater access to the CSUs and the UCs, as well as the community colleges. For me, personally, I have begun taking out private loans from other family members to avoid the higher interest rates.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	So thank God, I had that privilege
2	to do that, or else I wasn't going to consider
3	the University of California system. So
4	however, as my tuition continues to increase I
5	am no longer able to take up those loans from
6	family members, because obviously, not everyone
7	has money to spare.
8	So I'm now having to resort to
9	student loans, and these loans, they're not
10	allowing me to, like, gain access. They're
11	really trying to, like, benefit off of my future.
12	So I'm trying to go to the University
13	of California system so I can get a good job and
14	I can help provide for my family.
15	However, these student loans are
16	going to be benefitting off of my education. I
17	don't think that's right. I think we need to
18	make sure that we're continuing to lower student
19	loan interest rates and making sure that the
20	system remains effective and the system remains
21	accessible to all. Thank you very much.

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

MS. STUDLEY: Thank you.

1	MS. DAHNKE: Good afternoon,
2	Members of the Department of Education. And
3	thank you so much to other speakers who let us
4	go before you. My name is Sarah Dahnke, and I
5	am an undergraduate second year at the
6	University of California Los Angeles.
7	I'd first like to thank you for this
8	opportunity to speak on behalf of myself and
9	other students at my university.
10	I have read through the proposed
11	President's plan to make education more
12	affordable, as it appears on the White House
13	Website. I acknowledge your attempt to lessen
14	the burden of college debt on millions of
15	students across the U.S.
16	I think that this is a commendable
17	move. However, I am concerned that it fails to
18	address the real problem. In your plan, it
19	seems as though you intend to deal with the issue
20	of student debt through rescheduling of payment
21	plans and more flexible credit hours.

However, these proposals do little

1	to solve the student debt problem in this
2	country. Your goal should be to come up with
3	solutions to eliminate student debt, not simply
4	to help students manage it.
5	In order to take steps towards
6	eliminating student debt, we must examine three
7	key areas of our higher education system.
8	Firstly, the structure of the public university
9	system.
10	Secondly, the misallocation of
11	public university funds. And lastly, Wall
12	Street's gain from public universities. I will
13	be focusing on higher education, public
14	education, because most undergraduates in the
15	U.S. do go to public colleges.
16	In fact, in 2009, 75 percent of
17	undergraduates were enrolled in public college
18	or university. I'd first like to draw your
19	attention to the structural inequalities in the
20	higher public education system.
21	I attend a public state funded

school, UCLA. I'm extremely fortunate to be

1	receiving a top rate education and greatly enjoy
2	attending my university. However, I am often
3	concerned by how public universities, such as
4	the UC system, are structured.
5	In the University of California
6	system it is nearly impossible for students to
7	communicate with the administrators, who
8	determine their tuition and student fee rates.
9	The UC Board of Regents consists of
10	26 members, 18 of which are appointed by the
11	University, or by the California Governor, for
12	12-year terms.
13	These regents determine our tuition
14	and student fees and implement UC wide policies.
15	Only one UC student sits on the Board.
16	The regents meet in inaccessible
17	locations, such as UCSF, which is mostly
18	comprised of graduate students, and these
19	factors prevent UC students from speaking with
20	the regents, therefore silencing our voices when
21	it comes to expressing our struggle with student

debt.

1	Secondly, I'd like to talk about the
2	misallocation of public university funds.
3	Since 2007, the top one percent of UC
4	Administrator salaries increased by \$250
5	million. Meanwhile, tuitions for students
6	increased three times since 2002.
7	The inequality does not just occur
8	in the UC, but across other public university
9	systems. Since 1980, the inflation adjust
10	costs of education has tripled.
11	Furthermore, the UC increased its
12	spending on auxiliary services by 78 percent,
13	while only increasing funding education by 23
14	percent.
15	What we see here is that our Public
16	Education System is not allocating its funds to
17	students and education, but top paid executives
18	and flashy infrastructure.
19	Lastly, I would like to address Wall
20	Street's role in increased student debt. When
21	universities, such as mine, increase auxiliary
	1

services they borrow from Wall Street. On this

1	borrowed money they have to pay high interest
2	rates.
3	From 2001 to 2010, the State College
4	System in California paid \$6.5 billion, yes
5	billion, to interest for Wall Street.
6	Instead of that \$6.5 billion going
7	to instruction, scholarships, or classroom
8	materials, it was funneled into the pockets of
9	the one percent.
10	Before we can begin addressing
11	specifics, such as performance based funding and
12	payment plans for paying off student debt, we
13	must first address the elephant in the room.
14	Rampant tuition costs, bloated top
15	administration pay and enormous interest
16	payments to Wall Street reveal one common truth,
17	the higher public education system is not
18	working for students.
19	Instead of encouraging universities
20	to better allocate resources towards students,
21	let's demand it of them. In order to eliminate
22	student debt, we must reform the higher public

1	education system and make it again what it was
2	once intended to be, a service to the people of
3	the United States. Thank you.
4	(Applause)
5	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
6	Thank you. And I just, I'd like to remind you
7	that anyone who has a written version of their
8	testimony it would be very helpful if you could
9	provide the whole thing to us at
10	collegefeedback@ed.gov so that we can have the
11	full text. Thank you very much. And I believe
12	there was one more student from UCLA? Go ahead.
13	MS. CHHEA: Okay. Hi, I'm Jenny
14	Chhea. I'm also from UCLA and I'm part of UCLA's
15	Undergraduate Student Association Council
16	External Vice President's Office.
17	So for me, I come from a low-income
18	family where I have one brother, one younger
19	sister, and my brother goes to college, I'm ir
20	college, and next year my younger sister's going

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

And my family, we only make a joint

to be going to college.

21

students will be going to college within family, it does say a lot about how within higher education there needs to be more fund allocated to it.  Because for me, like, tuit: housing, everything is already \$30,000. An the fact that a lot of my personal funding education comes from scholarships, the thin that with scholarships, it's not all guarante And so if I don't have to scholarship all of those money would have to coming from student loan and with student load I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per year And so I would not be able to affine paying back all of those student loan debts to the income that my family makes. And so I am favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does		
family, it does say a lot about how within higher education there needs to be more fund allocated to it.  Because for me, like, tuit: housing, everything is already \$30,000. An the fact that a lot of my personal funding education comes from scholarships, the thin that with scholarships, it's not all guarante  And so if I don't have t scholarship all of those money would have to coming from student loan and with student lo I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per yea  And so I would not be able to aff paying back all of those student loan debts w the income that my family makes. And so I an favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	1	income of \$48,000. So the fact that three
higher education there needs to be more fundal allocated to it.  Because for me, like, tuit: housing, everything is already \$30,000. An the fact that a lot of my personal funding education comes from scholarships, the thin that with scholarships, it's not all guaranted And so if I don't have to scholarship all of those money would have to coming from student loan and with student load if I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per year And so I would not be able to affine paying back all of those student loan debts to the income that my family makes. And so I are favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	2	students will be going to college within my
Because for me, like, tuits housing, everything is already \$30,000. An the fact that a lot of my personal funding education comes from scholarships, the thin that with scholarships, it's not all guarante And so if I don't have to scholarship all of those money would have to coming from student loan and with student load i'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per yea And so I would not be able to aff paying back all of those student loan debts to the income that my family makes. And so I an favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	3	family, it does say a lot about how within our
Because for me, like, tuit: housing, everything is already \$30,000. An the fact that a lot of my personal funding education comes from scholarships, the thing that with scholarships, it's not all guarante  And so if I don't have to scholarship all of those money would have to coming from student loan and with student loa I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per yea  And so I would not be able to affect paying back all of those student loan debts we the income that my family makes. And so I ar favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	4	higher education there needs to be more funding
housing, everything is already \$30,000. An the fact that a lot of my personal funding education comes from scholarships, the thing that with scholarships, it's not all guaranted.  And so if I don't have to scholarship all of those money would have to coming from student loan and with student load it'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per year.  And so I would not be able to affine paying back all of those student loan debts to the income that my family makes. And so I are favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	5	allocated to it.
the fact that a lot of my personal funding education comes from scholarships, the thing that with scholarships, it's not all guarante  And so if I don't have to scholarship all of those money would have to coming from student loan and with student loa  I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per yea  And so I would not be able to affer paying back all of those student loan debts we the income that my family makes. And so I are favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	6	Because for me, like, tuition,
education comes from scholarships, the thing that with scholarships, it's not all guarante  And so if I don't have to scholarship all of those money would have to coming from student loan and with student loa I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per yea  And so I would not be able to aff paying back all of those student loan debts we the income that my family makes. And so I am favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	7	housing, everything is already \$30,000. And so
that with scholarships, it's not all guarante  And so if I don't have to  scholarship all of those money would have to  coming from student loan and with student load  I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per year  And so I would not be able to after  paying back all of those student loan debts we the income that my family makes. And so I are favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	8	the fact that a lot of my personal funding for
And so if I don't have to scholarship all of those money would have to coming from student loan and with student load.  I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per year.  And so I would not be able to aff. paying back all of those student loan debts with the income that my family makes. And so I are favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does.	9	education comes from scholarships, the thing is
scholarship all of those money would have to coming from student loan and with student loan and with student loan in the student loan about \$9,000 per year and so I would not be able to affine paying back all of those student loan debts with the income that my family makes. And so I are favor of the 10 percent and capping it at the percent.  But the thing that this also does	10	that with scholarships, it's not all guaranteed.
coming from student loan and with student load  I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per year  And so I would not be able to after  paying back all of those student loan debts we the income that my family makes. And so I are favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	11	And so if I don't have that
I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per year  And so I would not be able to aff  paying back all of those student loan debts we the income that my family makes. And so I are favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	12	scholarship all of those money would have to be
And so I would not be able to affi paying back all of those student loan debts we the income that my family makes. And so I am favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	13	coming from student loan and with student loans
paying back all of those student loan debts we the income that my family makes. And so I are favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	14	I'd have to be taking about \$9,000 per year.
the income that my family makes. And so I am favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	15	And so I would not be able to afford
favor of the 10 percent and capping it at percent.  But the thing that this also does	16	paying back all of those student loan debts with
percent.  But the thing that this also does	17	the income that my family makes. And so I am in
But the thing that this also does	18	favor of the 10 percent and capping it at 10
	19	percent.
21 address is that it does not address anyth	20	But the thing that this also does not
	21	address is that it does not address anything

about student loan public service forgiveness.

So for students who do want to go into public service, especially after they graduate, this plan does not address anything about that. And so I feel like that would be something beneficial to address.

Another thing for Obama's Plan is that it doesn't address anything about financial literacy. And so although it does want to increase the transparency of college performance, it does not address anything about transparency of student loan to students themselves.

And so whenever students are trying to take out student loan, they just sign on to it without reading the fact that oh, student loan cannot be discharged, student loan there's a 6.8 interest rate and that there's, right after their grace period they're going to have to start paying back.

And so students don't know that.

And so after they graduate and all these loans
pile up, they go oh, you have to pay it back. And

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

so something that needs to be addressed is the financial literacy aspect.

And lastly, I do find problematic the aspect that says that demands student responsibility for academic performance. Although I do understand that it is an incentive aspect, but by taking away money from students who aren't completing their classes, it especially affects students of color.

So students who aren't doing well because they have to either help out their families, or other social economic aspects that make them unable to retain themselves, they're going to have problems with that.

And with money taken away from them, they're going to have to be working more where they'd have to be, where the education would be continually declining and it becomes a cycle where the state is just taking money from students who need it the most. And so yes, that's all I have to address. Thank you.

MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	I appreciate it.
2	(Applause)
3	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. We will
4	next take Allison De Lucca, Laura Murphy, and
5	Patty Ochoa-Mayer.
6	MS. DE LUCCA: Good afternoon. Hi,
7	my name is Allison De Lucca. And I'm the
8	Executive Director of the Southern California
9	College Access Network.
10	We're an alliance of approximately
11	50 community based programs that are working
12	with low-income students and families here in
13	the LA area.
14	Our collective goal is to increase
15	the number of low-income students that go to and
16	through college. And collectively, our
17	membership provides services to about 75,000
18	students and families in Southern California.
19	First and foremost, I'm here to, on
20	behalf of our Network, applaud the President and
21	the Administration for these efforts to really
22	nut in place proposals that will advance college

1 as a possibility for our students and families. 2 specifically would love We 3 comment on three particular proposals that have 4 been put forth. The first is the rating system. We whole-heartedly stand behind a rating system. 5 6 Currently, members our are 7 utilizing a similar system that was developed by the Education Trust called College Results, 8 9 which essentially allows an individual to 10 compare similar institutions to one another and determine how well they are graduating their 11 students. 12 13 We found that this has really been for our students and families 14 helpful determine which institutions that they should 15 invest in and actually attend, because they're 16 able to see what their results might look like. 17 We also believe that if this were a 18 19 federal program and all colleges were underneath 20 a ranking system that it would also encourage those institutions to start innovating and 2.1

create more effective practices to get their

1	students through the pipeline.
2	There are two areas where we would
3	recommend including some indicators around.
4	One would be the inclusion of racial and ethnic
5	data.
6	So when you're looking at it,
7	disaggregate it by racial and ethnic groups so
8	that we can see how certain institutions are
9	supporting and graduating specific populations.
10	We know some institutions do it
11	better than others and we think that would be
12	helpful for our students in their selection
13	process.
14	The second aspect that we think
15	would be really helpful are those indicators
16	along the way. So it's important to know how an
17	institution is, how accessible it is, how
18	affordable it is and how able it is to graduate
19	its students.
20	But there are key measures along
21	that way. So we think it would be important to
22	measure things like, what does persistence look

1	like after year one, when many of our students
2	have a tendency to drop out. So that's it on the
3	rating system.
4	Just wanted to provide quick comment
5	and echo some of the comments that have been made
6	around the notion of linking performance and
7	federal aid.
8	We just strongly encourage that more
9	investigation be conducted. We see that there
10	could be a lot of unintentional outcomes.
11	Financial aid is difficult for our
12	students, who come from low-income backgrounds,
13	to access already, we don't want to create any
14	more impediments for them to be able to do so.
15	And then finally, we did want to
16	touch on the technology piece, particularly, as
17	it relates to providing student supports. What
18	we've found has been the most helpful, in terms
19	of methodology of the students persisting year
20	after year, is when they do have that one-on-one
21	personal connection.

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

So in addition to technological

1	resources that might be available, such as
2	tutoring, et cetera, online. We would strongly
3	encourage looking at peer-to-peer, or near peer
4	models.
5	So that we don't lose that personal
6	touch and relationship building opportunity
7	that many of our students have highlighted have
8	been really the center of their success. So
9	again, thank you for the opportunity to provide
10	comment and we look forward to continued
11	conversation with you.
12	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
13	Appreciate it. And Laura Murphy, please.
14	MS. MURPHY: Good afternoon. Hi,
15	my name's Laura. Thank you for being here
16	today. Thank you for organizing this event.
17	And I'm just really grateful for the opportunity
18	for all of us to come together and share with each
19	other.
20	And I just have a few ideas. Pretty
21	much everybody said what I would like to say.
22	And so for sure, I definitely support the

investigation of predatory loans to public colleges and students. And I think that point's been made very clear today, which I appreciate.

And also, I wanted to just kind of give two cents on alternative currencies. Basically, an alternative currency that I think would be influential and successful in this instance, like for college students, would be having opportunities to pay as they learn, which I read something about that, opportunities that are in public service.

But I'd really like to see the field of public service. And I'd like to see that open up and become more diverse and incorporate more creative opportunities, like working in community gardening, working in sustainability, environmental stewardship, restorative justice, social justice fields, labor studies, working with women and girls, but just really, really open up the public service field.

So a college student could be paying for their education through their work in those

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	fields, either while they're in it through
2	internship programs, or then after they've
3	graduated to get involved in that.
4	And then on that note, there's a
5	group called the Peace Alliance. They have been
6	pushing former Representative Dennis Kucinich's
7	proposal for a Department of Peace, a U.S.
8	Department of Peace.
9	And I thought to move forward with
10	creating federal programs like that, that
11	encourage college students to go into peace
12	building and like I said, making it more
13	creative, not just the Peace Corps and
14	AmeriCorps, but creating more jobs available for
15	people that are peace building jobs. And yes,
16	that's it. Thanks.
17	(Applause)
18	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
19	Ms. Ochoa-Mayer, and then we have Isabel Aranda
20	and Chrislyn Cross.
21	MS. OCHOA-MAYER: Yes, good
22	afternoon, Deputy Under Secretary Studley. My

name is Patricia Ochoa-Mayer. I am the Vice President of the Parent Institute for Quality Education, PIQE.

And first, I would like to express my appreciation for the invitation to provide our feedback to the Administration, as you pursue the implementation of the President's Initiative on Higher Education. I need to put my glasses on.

The Parent Institute for Quality Education, PIQE, is a state wide non-profit organization, whose primary mission for the past 25 years has been to provide low-income and immigrant parents with the tools and the information they need to navigate a school system and to help their children go to college.

We have graduated over 550,000 parents in 60 different languages across the state through a nine week very rigorous course, where they learn how to access resources, how to understand the school system, what kinds of questions to ask to educators.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

This course is offered at no cost to the parents. And independent studies have shown, demonstrated the students whose parents have taken the course graduate from high school in higher numbers and go to college also in higher numbers.

In short, our mission is to make sure that families who traditionally do not see college as obtainable, after graduating from our course not only understand the benefits, but are equipped with an understanding to increase the chances that their children will be able to do it.

I will want to limit my comments to two main areas. The first one is about the creation of tools that will help students and parents to shop around where they're going to college.

And we want to applaud the President's initiative, to create those tools that provide information that is understandable and comprehensible, which is a challenge in

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	itself.
2	But we would like to encourage you
3	to make sure that those tools are accessible and
4	understandable for communities that do not speak
5	English.
6	First generation students, whose
7	parents do not understand the system and
8	actually find it very intimidating. We have
9	found in PIQE that, in particular, low-income
10	parents and Latino parents are very intimidated
11	by debt.
12	They do not have access to
13	information for many options of financial aid.
14	And not having that they don't even dare to
15	dream.
16	We have found in our classes parents
17	that do not even want to encourage their children
18	because they listen to horror stories and they
19	don't even want to try.
20	So we encourage you to make this

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

information and these tools available and in

multiple languages and then very intentionally

21

targeting the underrepresented communities as early as middle school.

And not necessarily waiting for the parents to go to the Websites, because we have the digital divide and many of the parents do not have access to those tools, and maybe doing a campaign out there to reach out to the families where they are.

The second area deals with the proposal of founding colleges according to performance, which is very popular here. We agree with the idea that colleges that are effectively in providing access and quality education to underrepresented communities shall be rewarded.

As you consider how to do that, we urge you to ensure that you do not create a performance based system that results in unintended consequences.

For example, we should not reward colleges that improve student performance by merely skimming off the top and becoming more

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

exclusive in their selection process.

2.1

Many public universities, as part of the mission, are committed to providing opportunity to students who many not come with the highest GPAs, or the most rigorous course work.

In fact, many of the students may need remedial education in order to succeed in college. We know that. You would not want to punish colleges who are taking on the greater challenge, or are getting access to traditionally underrepresented students who have different learning needs than other college student population.

It is important not to lose sight of the fact that many of the students who come from underrepresented backgrounds and low-income households face difficult economic pressures and family circumstances that can lead them to temporarily interrupting, or delaying, their studies along the way in order to provide for their families.

1	Colleges and universities and
2	community colleges, who welcome these students
3	and support them should be rewarded, even if
4	students follow a different and longer path to
5	graduation.
6	In closing, we agree that what works
7	should be rewarded. But what works may look
8	very different, depending on the student
9	population that you are serving.
10	And we encourage you to continue to
11	take testimony from parents and students that
12	come from underrepresented communities like the
13	ones that PIQE serves.
14	We are looking forward to the
15	opportunity to have you meet some of our parents
16	and find out more about their own experiences and
17	continue the dialogue. Thank you very much.
18	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you so much.
19	We look forward to seeing you later today.
20	(Applause)
21	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. Isabel
22	Aranda.

1	(Pause.)
2	MS. STUDLEY: Is Ms. Aranda here?
3	(Pause.)
4	MS. STUDLEY: Okay. Chrislyn Cross.
5	(Pause.)
6	MS. STUDLEY: We'll give her
7	another chance later. Ashley Cortez. It was a
8	little hard, when people signed up, to
9	distinguish between people who wanted to testify
10	and RSVPs for attending. So we wanted to be
11	over-broad. So I said, Ashley Cortez, Robert
12	DeWitz.
13	(Pause.)
14	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you.
15	(Pause.)
16	MS. STUDLEY: Excuse me, did you
17	want to testify? Did you hear your name
18	earlier, or were you
19	(Off microphone discussion)
20	MS. STUDLEY: Okay.
21	(Off microphone discussion)
22	MS. STUDLEY: A walk-in? Okay.

1	Then we have your name, later, on the list, I
2	think. We'll see if we
3	(Off microphone discussion)
4	MS. STUDLEY: We'll see if we can
5	accommodate everybody. Thank you. Go ahead.
6	MR. DEWITZ: Hello. My name is
7	Robert DeWitz, and I'm a student here at
8	Dominguez Hills. And before we begin I just
9	wanted to give a little background of who I am.
10	I spent a lot of time in the
11	community college system. And I come from a
12	working class family, who has not been able to
13	assist me, financially, in pursuing my higher
14	education.
15	So I've had to do whatever I can to
16	navigate through higher education to make it
17	affordable for myself and not incur any student
18	debt.
19	So I spent a lot of time with the
20	community college system, quite a few years,
21	actually, delaying my transfer while taking a
22	minimum amount of courses and, specifically, I

1	developed my own education program, at El Camino
2	College, during that time period.
3	So I have a little bit of
4	perspective, not just from a student, but also
5	somewhat as an educator, even though myself, I
6	am primarily a student, I have developed my own
7	educational program.
8	And I've worked heavily within the
9	community college system, but also somewhat in
10	K through 12 and the CSU, around issues that
11	affect students.
12	Reading some of the language that
13	was given in the handouts and what's being
14	proposed, I have some deep concerns about the
15	rating scales, or the rating system, which seem
16	to be based on the way you articulate, or the way
17	it's articulated is, access, affordability and
18	outcomes.
19	But I'm not seeing anything about
20	quality. You know, I used to work in retail and
21	when you have a product that's valued that based
22	on value; it's not synonymous with quality.

1	And in a lot of cases, value is at
2	the expense of quality. Right? So you have a
3	value product where it costs a minimal amount to
4	make but it kind of gets the job done. Right?
5	And the language that's being used is value seems
6	to be synonymous with performance. Right?
7	And performance based on like,
8	basically, how fast students are graduating, how
9	many students we can get through the system, but
10	not necessarily the quality in which the
11	education is received.
12	I work with an organization called
13	Students for Quality Education. And we have 17
14	other chapters in the CSU. And we work for
15	accessible, quality, and affordable education.
16	So the quality of education is a
17	particular thing that I'm extremely concerned
18	about in the rating system that's being
19	articulated.
20	And the solution to this
21	performance, you know, appears to be, I mean,
22	it's talking about innovative technology.

I	Rі	gh	+ 7
ı	$T \wedge T$	$q_{11}$	<b>.</b>

2.1

And walking into this forum yesterday trying to prepare for this, I was a little concerned that the solution that was going to be articulated was specifically going to be online education.

It wasn't until I picked up the handout there were being handed out this morning that specifically that's what's being referred to, is online education being the savior of higher education.

That this is how we're going to be able to speed up graduation rates. This is how we're going to be able to improve performance.

Because you can provide that education at extremely low cost, have less teachers, pack as many students as you can into these courses while sacrificing the quality of the education which these students are receiving.

And, personally, I want to have a high quality education upon my graduation. I

don't want to have a low quality education when
I graduate.

And there's such a loss of quality, you know, when you're looking at an online educational experience versus an in-class setting is lost, networking opportunities, there's little to no human interaction, right? Yes, just to name a few.

Now our campus, specifically, I'm a little concerned that the online education that's coming specifically to Dominguez Hills, as we have a new Provost that's, we have three candidates.

And one of the candidates for the Provost is Ellen Junn, who's the former Provost of San Jose State, which we know, or many of us know, is the disaster with MOOCs that took place within the last couple of years, where the success rates for those online education courses, those MOOCs, according to the Wall Street Journal, were estimated between 20 and 44 percent of graduating or passing rates for those

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

courses.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

And now, only after a couple of years at that college, she's trying to come here. So I'm just worried that Dominguez Hills is going to be the new testing ground for online education.

Something else that I would also like to point out is where the funds are going. You know, in the last decade or so we're seeing increased money being allocated administrative costs, administrative salaries and over administration, often at the expense of are being channeled funds that into classrooms and into our teachers. And we're seeing top heavy systems.

And a lot of this money is not being allocated into the classrooms. And the last thing that I wanted to note was on privatization.

And I would like to come into this forum and believe that, you know, the Department of Education is in my corner, as a student, as a prospective worker, as a perceptive citizen,

you know, trying to address the needs of our society.

My concern is that the Department of Education is not in my corner, that the Department of Education is not in the corner of students, but is in the corner of external businesses and organizations that have a vested interest in the future of public education, whether it's these private companies, who are providing these online education courses, whether it's some of the financial institutions that are, you know, exploiting students via debt.

And I just want, you know, to make sure the Department of Education is making decisions that are in the best interest of students, not necessarily in giving a handout to, you know, private companies like Coursera or edX.

And I don't believe that online education is the solution to our problems in the public, you know, demand of education. I think

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	it's about the proper allocation of funds,
2	right?
3	And that there are alternatives that
4	we don't have to be forcing public institutions
5	to take out, you know, these partnerships with
6	online education courses, and that's basically
7	what's going to happen.
8	You're forcing higher education
9	institutions to partner with these online
10	education providers, because this is the way
11	they're going to be able to speed up graduation
12	rates.
13	This is the way they're going to be
14	able to drive down the cost of education is by
15	sacrificing quality, you know, paying less
16	teachers and packing as many students as you can
17	into these online education classes and this
18	isn't the answer. This isn't what's best for
19	students. So please, I hope that you consider
20	an alternative. Thank you.
21	(Applause)
22	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. Thank

1	you. We have Katherine Chu, and Norbert
2	Kubilis, as the next two scheduled speakers.
3	Are either of you here? We're a little bit early
4	for their assigned time slots so we'll try them
5	again shortly.
6	In the meantime then, I'll see if
7	some of the people who were interested in the
8	opportunity to speak, who told us that on a
9	walk-in basis, are available. Is Gregory
10	White, Dr. White, still here?
11	(Pause.)
12	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you. Dr. White
13	and then Rebecca Sanchez is next after that.
14	Thank you.
15	DR. WHITE: So I'm Greg White, I'm
16	Associate Provost at Notre Dame de Namur
17	University, in Belmont, California. And thanks
18	to the Panel and to the Department of Education
19	for this opportunity to give input.
20	I don't know that I have any new
21	points to add to the discussion, but I hope to
22	make some points in a new way. At NDNU, we're

1 small private independent not-for-profit 2 university in the San Francisco Bay area. serve a diverse population of about 2,000 3 4 undergraduate and graduate students. 5 NDNU became a Hispanic institution, 6 Hispanic-serving institution in 2009 and is now 7 over 30 percent Hispanic and Latino, at the undergraduate level. 8 The institution was founded in 1851 9 10 by the Sisters of Notre Dame de Namur, and has a historical mission of access for underserved 11 populations. 12 13 For over 100 years that underserved population were the women of California, and 14 15 over the past 50 years that access mission has evolved to focus more on first generation 16 students and underrepresented populations. 17 NDNU fuels the civic infrastructure 18 19 of the San Francisco Peninsula, educating its 20 teachers, psychologists, firemen and policemen, among others, and we provide upward mobility for 2.1

low-income students and we positively impact our

1 community through a network of community 2 partners and community engagement activities. university with 3 а small As 4 endowment in a competitive marketplace, a few months ago our local Congresswoman, Jackie 5 6 Speier, asked our President, what are we doing 7 about college affordability? I think that was just after, or just before all of this was 8 9 announced. 10 Now our answer to that is that we've held the line on tuition increases for over ten 11 12 years now and have now become the lowest tuition institution, private institution in the Bay 13 14 Area. 15 But a rating system, as suggested, puts NDNU and other institutions like us, in the 16 affordability 17 cross hairs of and 18 accountability. 19 Depending on the comparisons one 20 chooses to make, the institution may be seen as doing a great job or a poor job. For example, 2.1

if you compare us to many high-priced privates,

1	our graduation rates may look low. Compare us
2	to institutions serving a similar population and
3	our graduation rates look high.
4	And NDNU is always working to
5	improve its outcomes. And we have welcomed the
6	direction that our accreditation agency, WASC,
7	has taken that makes us focus on improving those
8	outcomes.
9	If a rating system is developed that
10	helps us to improve the broad spectrum of
11	outcomes that we wish to achieve, then we'll
12	certainly support that.
13	But such a rating system must
14	acknowledge our mission, acknowledge what we do
15	well and encourage appropriate comparisons
16	across institutions.
17	We already have a rating system that
18	does not meet those standards and it's called
19	U.S. News. Rankings impute a value or a
20	relative value to institutions and we have to
21	ask, what will be valued?

How will a rating system value the

1	fit between a student and an institution? How
2	will it value the diversity of options in higher
3	education, and how will it value students who go
4	on into careers of service versus more lucrative
5	careers?
6	We're pleased that the President and
7	the Department of Education are reaching out to
8	the higher education community for input and we
9	look forward to collaborating on the creation of
10	a system that values and supports diversity of
11	choice and commitment to service. Thank you.
12	(Applause)
13	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
14	I was scribbling. First, I'll just remind
15	everybody who has testimony in a written form,
16	or wants to turn it into that, to submit it to
17	collegefeedback@ed.gov.
18	And much as we would love to engage
19	many of you in conversation, both about our

evolving thinking and about the suggestions that

you've made, this is not a format in which we can

do that.

20

21

1	But know that we will be using what
2	you say and may follow-up with some of you, if
3	we want to either know more about what you've
4	said, or if we think you can help us as we kick
5	the tires, as we become more concrete with your
6	help about the next steps.
7	Let me check again, whether any of
8	these people is here. Isabel Aranda, Chrislyn
9	Cross, Ashley Cortez, Katherine Chu, or Norbert
10	Kubilis? Seeing no indication. Is Rebecca
11	Sanchez still here?
Т.Т	
12	(Off microphone discussion)
	(Off microphone discussion)  MS. STUDLEY: In that case, Rene
12	_
12 13	MS. STUDLEY: In that case, Rene
12 13 14	MS. STUDLEY: In that case, Rene Castro? These are some walk-ins who may have
12 13 14 15	MS. STUDLEY: In that case, Rene Castro? These are some walk-ins who may have not been able to stay, or might have gone on to
12 13 14 15	MS. STUDLEY: In that case, Rene Castro? These are some walk-ins who may have not been able to stay, or might have gone on to the student meeting. Rene Castro, Beverly
12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. STUDLEY: In that case, Rene Castro? These are some walk-ins who may have not been able to stay, or might have gone on to the student meeting. Rene Castro, Beverly Gonzalez?
12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. STUDLEY: In that case, Rene Castro? These are some walk-ins who may have not been able to stay, or might have gone on to the student meeting. Rene Castro, Beverly Gonzalez?  (Off microphone discussion)
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. STUDLEY: In that case, Rene Castro? These are some walk-ins who may have not been able to stay, or might have gone on to the student meeting. Rene Castro, Beverly Gonzalez?  (Off microphone discussion)  MS. STUDLEY: Excellent. Ms.

1 Dominguez Hills University. I wanted to share 2 a quick story. Just yesterday, I went to LMU for a 3 Masters in Education interview and after, I 4 5 walked around the school. During my walk and as 6 soon as I parked until I left, I saw three 7 Hispanics, the parking attendant, the janitor and the crossing quard. 8 No other minorities were not seen 9 10 either, when I was there. And it was very few, if I did see them. And a lot of Caucasians. 11 it made me think, I come to Dominguez Hills and 12 13 I take diversity for granted. 14 In head Ι thought higher 15 education is available for everyone. But the truth is, it is not, especially LMU, it is a 16 private school. And just let's keep that in 17 mind as I continue with my points. 18 19 The CSU System was made to serve minorities after the Watts riots. And so this 20 is a place for us to come and excel in higher 2.1

education.

1	As minorities and people of
2	low-income, we rely on financial aid and loans,
3	as well as working in order to pay for our school.
4	So I work and I go to school full time.
5	So if CSU-DH is ranked as a low
6	school, due to the retention and graduation
7	rates, there won't be enough aid coming to us,
8	because it will be sent to the higher ranked
9	schools.
10	And that means that we won't have
11	enough financial aid to even come and try to get
12	a higher education. And, obviously, we cannot
13	afford LMU and the other schools.
14	So by ranking schools like DH, as low
15	schools because of retention, then really we're
16	closing the doors for us minorities to even start
17	entering higher education.
18	But the reason for low retention is
19	because, as students we have families and we
20	work. So maybe we don't have enough time and
21	resources to spend doing, excelling

academically and that's why we drop out.

1	So we have to think about that area
2	and the socioeconomic problems that we face as
3	students and that would be the reason why it's
4	ranked as low.
5	And if we don't consider those
6	reasons and just stop giving aid to the low
7	ranked schools, really, we are closing the doors
8	to low-income students.
9	Furthermore, if the ranking of
10	Dominguez Hills becomes low, the people that
11	have graduated and will graduate from Dominguez
12	Hills, their degrees are going to be almost
13	equivalent to nothing.
14	If I got to a job interview with a
15	Dominguez Hills degree and now that it's ranked
16	publically, as a low school, and I'm competing
17	against someone from UCLA, I'm not going to get
18	hired, obviously.
19	And so it not only is going to change
20	the way in which people perceive students at
21	Dominguez Hills, but also graduates.

And it will really effect on how all

1	of us will get a job and how Dominguez Hills will
2	be seen. And I don't think we should be punished
3	in ranking, due to the socioeconomical things
4	that are happening.
5	And by having professionals that
6	can't get hired because of their degree, because
7	of the ranking that was made because of their
8	lives.
9	We really are increasing a new class
10	of educated poor, and that's very important to
11	see. We will be having educated people that
12	cannot get jobs.
13	Education is supposed to be
14	accessible to everyone and moving resources to
15	only the high ranked schools defeats that ideal.
16	So please, reconsider the low
17	ranking, high ranking based on retention,
18	because there are deeper things that are
19	happening of why the retention is the way it is.
20	So instead of just giving incentive
21	to the high ranking schools, we should provide
22	even more to the low ranking schools, in order

1	to raise them up and have them be what they should
2	be. And that's all, thank you.
3	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you.
4	Appreciate it.
5	(Applause)
6	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much.
7	Laura Murphy and Vanessa Bajera, are either of
8	you here? Let me just go over the names of
9	people who had times. We're not even quite at
10	their times so we will wait a few minutes for
11	them. Katherine Chu, Professor Chu, and
12	Norbert Kubilis.
13	So we won't conclude in case they
14	arrive. I just want to say, although I don't
15	want to respond to any one person in particular.
16	And, Ms. Gonzalez, Ms. Gonzalez?
17	(Off microphone discussion)
18	MS. STUDLEY: If you have just one
19	second? While I don't want to respond to anyone
20	in particular, so many of you have talked about
21	the ratings.
22	I would like you to know that we take

very seriously the comments in the summaries that you'll see, about taking into account the student population and the challenge that the institutions take on, that we do mean to make comparisons by the mission of institutions, some combination of the missions, the population, the Pell eligibility.

We're not sure what measures we'll use, but we are very aware, and many people have spoken to this question, about not doing things that are in opposition to the objectives that we have for education.

And being very sensitive to the mix and the importance to us of the values, the purpose each institution has taken on and the student population, both to compare schools fairly to each other and to create rewards, not punishments, to people who do that job well, recognizing that there are different jobs and some of them much harder than others.

So with that, we're going to wait because we offered them time slots that haven't

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.1

1	come up yet, for the next for example, few
2	people. Is there anyone who is here who wanted
3	an opportunity to speak, who is afraid that it
4	wouldn't come in?
5	(Off microphone discussion)
6	MS. STUDLEY: Oh, we didn't, thank
7	you, we didn't get it, but I'm glad that you did.
8	(Off microphone discussion)
9	MS. STUDLEY: Could you, yes, let's
10	see if there's anyone else in that category.
11	Just let us know your name so that we have it.
12	MS. CERECEREZ: My name's Michelle
13	Cerecerez, I'm a colleague of Vivian Price's.
14	I'm also a UCLA Alum for grad and undergrad.
15	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you.
16	MS. CERECEREZ: And a parent of an
17	18-year-old and a 6-year-old. And I've been
18	working in the field of education quite by
19	accident.
20	I was a neuroscience major at UCLA
21	moving into my graduate studies and I had an
22	opportunity to take an internship with the UCLA

Labor Center, which is a highly successful internship program that promotes social justice, educational justice and contributing to the community in that way.

And I walked into the labor movement, the civil rights movement, and I've been working in this field ever since. I also have an 18-year-old daughter, but with -- and this is going to get to my point a little bit later.

In my career I've had to the opportunity to work with educators from Pre-K all the way now to the university system, Family Childcare Providers, Head Start Providers, K through 12, community colleges and now here in the CSU System.

What I have seen over the years and experienced firsthand is that any time policy comes about, in terms of ranking a system, accountability, oftentimes that means there do need to be some threshold and measurement, performance measurements that need to be met.

2.1

1	And we try to address those with
2	community organizations. And we try to address
3	them with, you know, improving funding, but in
4	the end it comes to whether you're
5	administrator, whether you're an educator,
6	you're going to try to teach to the test,
7	whatever that test may be.
8	And I think we have, as a country,
9	we know of a policy that was an utter failure that
10	didn't take into account a lot of issues around
11	that and that was No Child Left Behind.
12	And I really fear, as a parent, and
13	somebody who graduated from UCLA that the
14	opportunities based on a ranking system,
15	although you've addressed them, the challenges
16	will be there.
17	The fact that I've been able to get
18	ahead in my career is because I'm a Bruin,
19	period. People look at my resume, they comment
20	it, they're like, wow, you have this degree from
21	UCLA, that's awesome.

Ten years from now, they may look at

that degree, or a degree from a lower ranked
university and our previous speaker is right,
that's the reality of the world in which we live
in.
And for the Department of Education
to implement a system, that's based on rankings,
is quite concerning, in my opinion.
So I hope that we look to other types
of performance measures and maybe putting money
and funding into things that would help, such as,
you know, community organizations, encouraging
states to provide, as you said here, greater
funding for students and their families,
including families that are in the middle class.
We're all struggling, you know,
whether you're making \$40,000 a year. In this
city, a living wage is \$60,000 a year in the
County of Los Angeles, a lot of families can't
afford that.
So thinking about how much money car
we really put into it, but really what are these

measures that we want to have at the end.

1	And so I also just want to make one
2	additional point about the measurements and the
3	performance and the degree and based on how
4	graduates perform after they graduate.
5	It doesn't take into account, you
6	know, whether unemployment rates, local
7	unemployment rates, state unemployment rates,
8	and our national unemployment rates, and
9	underemployment rates.
10	I have several colleagues and
11	friends who are graduates of top tier
12	universities, including Stanford, including
13	Wellesley, including UC Berkeley. They cannot
14	get a job, and they're brilliant and they're
15	bright and they are complete professionals.
16	So ranking, again, the degree on
17	whether or not an individual gets a job in their
18	field, which I didn't, I'm not in neuroscience,
19	or whether is employed, I think is a little blind
20	to the fact that we have a huge economic problem

And education is an investment,

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

21

22

in this nation.

1	you're never going to lose it, but at the same
2	time, there's some real economic factors going
3	on around whether or not you can get a job where
4	you work.
5	So those are just a couple of my
6	comments. And the last thing is, in terms of the
7	grant and the Pell Grants and the loans, there
8	is one thing like personally that affects me.
9	My daughter didn't come to the CSU,
10	although she was admitted, she chose to go to
11	Pasadena City College because we could not
12	afford two student debts, mine and hers.
13	And that was a very hard thing to do
14	and to think my kid cannot come because I simply
15	cannot afford it, even though I'm a middle class
16	wage earner.
17	So taking those types of things into
18	account, if parents are also paying student
19	loans, is there an option for deferment? I've
20	actually talked to Sally Mae and they make you
21	run around the circus all day long, but I'm still

22

working with them.

1	But those types of things are
2	important, as more people take on their student
3	debt and have families of their own. No matter
4	where they come from, whether it's a public
5	university, or a private university, those
6	things do need to be taken into consideration.
7	Thank you.
8	MS. STUDLEY: Thank you very much,
9	I appreciate it.
10	(Applause)
11	MS. STUDLEY: Okay. We don't have
12	anyone else, as we understand it, who has
13	requested the chance to speak. Is that right,
14	is anyone here waiting to speak?
15	We had two others scheduled for 2:43
16	p.m. and 2:50 p.m. So we will wait for them,
17	because it's just a couple more minutes, but I
18	would certainly understand if you wanted to
19	depart, or you may want to stay.
20	It's a professor, I believe, from
21	here. And a member of the Board of Trustees of
22	the University. If you were waiting for them,

they're on the agenda and we'll see if they arrive.

Otherwise, to allow you to graciously depart, if you think the proceedings are over, or if you need to go. Thank you very, very much, again. You're symbolic of the many people who spoke today and we very much appreciate your participation, your thoughtfulness.

And know that, all of this is valuable to us and we are trying to achieve a very wise way of moving forward and advancing the positive mission that we've talked about without the dangers that people have so helpfully reinforced and amplified for us.

So thank you very much and as we said earlier, we will be processing this information speaking to people like you around the country in many different settings and to people, who are the data analysts, to give us an additional perspective on how to do this in the smartest possible way.

2.1

1	We will be publishing in the spring
2	a draft of the ratings system so that everybody
3	can see and help and engage with it at a more
4	detailed level and really sink our teeth into,
5	have we found a way to get the positives and avoid
6	the negatives?
7	Thank you, again, for coming, and
8	thank you very much to CSU-Dominguez Hills and
9	all the people who have been so very gracious and
10	hospitable to us today. Thank you very much.
11	(Applause)
12	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
13	matter was concluded at 2:49 p.m.)